Coupled-Magnetic Filters with Adaptive Inductance Cancellation

D.S. Lymar

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA Email: dlymar@mit.edu T.C. Neugebauer Draper Laboratory Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA Email: tneugebauer@draper.com D.J. Perreault Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA Email: djperrea@mit.edu

Abstract—Conventional filter circuits suffer from a number of limitations, including performance degradation due to capacitor parasitic inductance and the size and cost of magnetic elements. Coupled-magnetic filters have been developed that provide increased filter order with a single magnetic component, but also suffer from parasitic inductance in the filter shunt path due to imperfectly-controlled coupling of the magnetics. In this paper, we introduce a new approach to coupled-magnetic filters that overcomes these limitations. Filter sensitivity to variations in coupling is overcome by adaptively tuning the coupling of the magnetic circuit with feedback based on the sensed filter output ripple. This active coupling control enables much greater robustness to manufacturing and environmental variations than are possible in the conventional coupled-magnetic approach, while preserving its advantages. Moreover, the proposed technique also adaptively cancels the deleterious effects of capacitor parasitic inductance, thereby providing much higher filter performance than is achievable in conventional designs. The new technique is experimentally demonstrated in a dc/dc power converter application and is shown to provide high performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electrical filters are an integral part of most electronic systems, and are particularly important in power electronics. Control of switching ripple is the primary factor in sizing the magnetics and filter components that comprise much of the size, mass, and cost of a power converter. Design techniques that mitigate converter ripple are therefore valuable for reducing the size of power electronics and the amount of electromagnetic interference (EMI) that is generated.

The low-pass filters used in power electronics typically employ capacitors as shunt elements and magnetics, such as inductors, as series-path elements. The attenuation of a filter stage is determined by the amount of impedance mismatch between the series and shunt paths. Minimizing shunt-path impedance and maximizing series-path impedance at high frequencies are thus important design goals. An important limitation of conventional filters is the effect of filter capacitor parasitic inductance, which increases shunt path impedance at high frequencies [1]–[4]. Common methods for overcoming the deteriorated filter performance caused by capacitor parasitic inductance include placing various types of capacitors in parallel to cover different frequency ranges, and increasing the order of the filter network. Both approaches increase filter size

and cost.

The size of magnetic components is also of importance, particularly in multi-section filters, such as that illustrated in Figure 1. One technique that has been explored for reducing magnetic component count and size is the use of coupled magnetics (e.g. by realizing inductors L_A and L_B in Fig. 1 with a coupled magnetic circuit wound on a single core). Coupled magnetics have been used with capacitors to achieve "notch" filtering [5]–[8], as well as so-called "zero-ripple" filtering [9]– [13]. Despite the name "zero-ripple," it has been shown that the performance of of these coupled-magnetic filters is equivalent to filters without magnetically-coupled windings [9], [10]. The advantage of coupled-magnetic filters is that they enable a high-order filter structure to be realized with a single magnetic component. However, they suffer from the fact that their performance depends on very precise coupling within the magnetic circuit. Any mismatch in this coupling, such as that induced by small material or manufacturing variations, temperature changes, or variations in operating point, can dramatically reduce ripple attenuation. The sensitivity of this approach to magnetic coupling has limited its value in many applications, despite its other advantages.

In this paper, we introduce a new approach to coupledmagnetic filters that overcomes the limitations described above. Filter sensitivity to variations in coupling is overcome by adaptively tuning the coupling of the magnetic circuit with feedback based on the sensed filter output ripple. This active coupling control enables much greater robustness to manufacturing and environmental variations than are possible in the conventional coupled-magnetic approach, while preserving its advantages. Moreover, as will be shown, the proposed technique also adaptively cancels the deleterious effects of



Fig. 1. Example of a multi-section filter.

capacitor parasitic inductance, thereby providing much higher filter performance than is achievable in conventional designs.

This document is organized as follows: Section II describes the principles underlying the proposed filters, including active coupling control and its use in capacitor-path inductance cancellation. The adaptive control techniques used to maintain high performance across operating conditions are described in Section III. Section IV presents results from a prototype coupled-magnetic filter in a dc/dc converter application. Finally, Section V concludes the paper.

II. ACTIVE COUPLING CONTROL AND PARASITIC INDUCTANCE CANCELLATION

Coupled magnetic filters can be built using two windings on a single core. Two possible implementations of such a coupled magnetic device are depicted in Figure 2. In both configurations, each winding links flux with itself and mutually with the other winding. The coupling is designed to yield the desired performance.

Electromagnetic analysis of the magnetic circuit of Fig. 2b, for example, leads to the following description [2], [3]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N_1^2}{\Re_{11}} + \frac{N_1^2}{\Re_M} & \frac{N_1 \cdot N_2}{\Re_M} \\ \frac{N_1 \cdot N_2}{\Re_M} & \frac{N_2^2}{\Re_{22}} + \frac{N_2^2}{\Re_M} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_M \\ L_M & L_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

in which λ_1 and λ_2 are flux linkages (the time integrals of individual coil voltage), i_1 and i_2 are individual coil currents, N_1 and N_2 are the number of turns in each coil, and \Re_{11} , \Re_{22} , and \Re_M are the self and mutual magnetic reluctances. An equivalent circuit model can be obtained from the two-port description, as illustrated in Figure 3.

Traditionally, coupled magnetic filters of this type are designed to make inductance L_C of Figure 3 ideally zero. The coupled magnetic element can then provide two inductances in a multi-section filter such as that of Fig. 1, without contributing inductance to the shunt path. However, zeroing of the shuntpath inductance, L_C , requires very precisely-controlled coupling between the two windings, which is difficult to achieve in practice. Consequently, such circuits are sometimes designed to make the effective inductance, L_C , somewhat negative and



Fig. 2. Coupled magnetic windings in a center-tapped, (a), and end-tapped, (b) connection.



Fig. 3. Equivalent circuit "T-model" for the magnetically-coupled windings of Fig. 2.

an external trimming inductor is used to null the total shuntpath inductance [11], [12]. Even with such design tricks, inductance variations with operating conditions and part-topart variations make it impossible to completely null the shuntpath inductance.

To fully appreciate the notion of trimming the shunt-path inductance, it is useful to revisit the magnetic circuit model (1). Energy conservation considerations dictate the following condition:

$$L_M \leq \sqrt{L_{11}L_{22}} \tag{2}$$

which states that the mutual inductance between the windings must be less than or equal to the geometric mean of the two self-inductances. However, the mutual inductance may still be larger than one of the self-inductances, in which case one branch of the T-network (the L_C branch) appears to have a negative inductance. It must be stressed that this does not violate any physical laws since the inductance seen across any two terminals of the T-model is clearly positive. Thus, when L_C of Fig. 3 is made slightly negative, the trimming inductor can be used to bring the overall shunt-path inductance to zero.

Unfortunately, this approach is not robust, as it is very sensitive to changes in operating conditions, such as small material or manufacturing variations, temperature changes, and flux levels. Furthermore, even in the ideal case of precise coupling, an additional limitation to high frequency filter performance is the parasitic inductance of the shunt-path capacitor. While the effects of parasitic inductance are significant, the value of this inductance is quite small – approximately 10–50 nH for typical capacitors used in power electronics applications [2], [3]. Generally, these values are well below the practical limits in trimming the shunt-path inductance.

In this paper, we introduce the use of adaptive magnetic coupling control to maintain low shunt-path inductance under all operating conditions. Feedback control is used to maintain coupling precisely at the point that optimizes attenuation performance, thereby overcoming the limitations of conventional designs. In principle, coupling control may be achieved by adding an auxiliary winding to the coupled magnetic device, which would serve to drive part of the magnetic core a controlled amount into saturation, thereby controlling coupling [14]–[17]. However, for simplicity we have focused on using a separate electronically-controlled trimming inductor in the shunt path of the filter.

This electronically-controlled trimming inductor is realized as a cross-field reactor consisting of two magneticallyorthogonal sets of windings on the same core (Fig. 4). When the windings are positioned in this way, there is no mutual magnetic coupling between them. One of the windings carries the shunt-path ripple current, while the other carries a controlled DC current. The control current is used to drive the magnetic core partly into saturation, thereby changing the permeability of the core. Effectively, this changes the inductance seen in the signal path, and the device acts as an electronically-controlled variable inductor. The measured inductance vs. control current of the cross-field reactor used in our prototype system is shown in Fig. 5.

The cross-field reactor then allows us to control the overall shunt-path inductance of the filter. In this way, we are able to not only compensate for coupling mismatch of the coupled magnetic device, but to also cancel the parasitic inductance of the capacitor. Moreover, by measuring output ripple performance and placing the coupling under closed-loop control, we can maximize attenuation under all operating conditions. Experimental results demonstrating the high performance of this approach are presented in Section IV.

III. ADAPTIVE CONTROL METHODS

The proposed design approach uses feedback control based on output ripple to maintain good performance. The adaptive controller is presented in the context of a switching dc/dc converter application. The controller measures the root-meansquare (RMS) of the converter output ripple voltage (V_{ripple}^{RMS}) and electronically tunes the inductance of the cross-field reactor to minimize the ripple seen at the filter output. A Lyapunov control strategy similar to those described in [7], [18]–[20] has been implemented.



Fig. 5. Effects of varying control current on inductance using a cross-field reactor.

A. Control Strategy

The block diagram of Figure 6 illustrates the basic control strategy employed.

The control method is integral in nature. The controller generates a small, exogenous, low frequency sinusoidal variation in the cross-field reactor control current that controls the shunt-path inductance. This consequently results in small variations in V_{ripple}^{RMS} as the shunt-path inductance varies. The controller then correlates the changes in V_{ripple}^{RMS} with the sinusoidal variation in $I_{control}$ by multiplying the two and integrating the product. When the average value of the product is negative, $I_{control}$ is below the optimal operating point and the integral is driven to increase $I_{control}$. Conversely, when the average value of the product drives $I_{control}$ down. At the optimal operating point, the input of the



Fig. 4. Structural diagram of a cross-field reactor. One magnetic core is wound with two orthogonal windings, a toroidal coil and annular coil, which are not magnetically coupled.



Fig. 6. Simplified closed-loop adaptive inductance tuning model.



Fig. 7. Scaled V_{ripple}^{RMS} as a function of the variable inductor control current for the prototype system (as measured at the output of AD637 of Fig. 11) and its 4th order polynomial fit. The scaling factor of 148.3 at the switching frequency results from the added gain of the differential high-pass filter stage at the input of the AD637.

integrator is ideally zero and the operating point is maintained.

The small sinusoidal signal is added to the negated output of the integrator and the sum is used as the control current to the variable inductor. This control strategy drives the DC component of the control current to the minimum of the V_{ripple}^{RMS} vs. $I_{control}$ function, where the integral output holds constant. The control method assumes that the RMS value of the output ripple as a function of $I_{control}$ is unimodal in the range of interest. Experimental measurements confirm this assumption for the prototype system described in Section IV (Fig. 7).

The proposed control approach is inherently stable. Consider the local average dynamics [21] of the system over an averaging period of the sinusoidal variation. Taking the (local average) output of the integrator as the state variable of interest, the function f of Fig. 7 is a Lyapunov function [22] of the system.

B. Simulation

To validate the efficacy of the control strategy, a time domain simulation of the approach was implemented in Simulink (Mathworks Inc., Cambridge, MA) as shown in Fig. 9. A simplified average state-space model was developed for the buck converter (described more fully in Section IV), and is represented by the $I_{control}$ to V_{ripple} block. The effects of varying the control current on the value of the variable inductance were determined empirically and modeled with a close-fitting 5th order polynomial (Fig. 5). Transfer function blocks reflecting the dynamics and characteristics of circuit components were derived for the controller. The model was



Fig. 8. Simulated transient performance of the converter output ripple as active tuning is enabled at time 0.1 seconds.

then used to assess the dynamic performance of the controller. Details of this model may be found in [23]. The converter was first allowed to reach steady state, at which point the adaptive tuning was enabled at time t = 0.1 seconds. The transient behavior of the control current and the converter output ripple are depicted in Figs. 8 and 10.

Simulation results indicate that the proposed active tuning control method exhibits good static and dynamic behavior. A factor of 20 reduction is predicted for the converter output ripple (Fig. 8) when $I_{control}$ reaches its DC steady state value of 0.53 amperes (A) (Fig. 10) after approximately 200 milliseconds (ms).

C. Controller Implementation

In practice, the controller is implemented on a printed circuit board (PCB) using standard circuit components, as illustrated in Fig. 11. The blocks of Fig. 9 are implemented as follows: the sinusoidal variation is implemented using an XR2206 monolithic function generator. An AD637 RMS-to-DC converter is used for the RMS block and an AD633 multiplier for the product block. Addition, buffering, and voltage to current conversion are performed using the LF347 quad operational amplifier. The variable inductor control current is provided using a TIP29C NPN power bipolar transistor. Zener diodes and the LM317 adjustable voltage regulator serve to constrain the voltage on the output of the integrator in the range of 1-9volts. Finally, a differential high-pass stage is added using two LM6361 operational amplifiers to provide additional gain and to decouple the DC component of the output from the control circuitry.



Fig. 9. Simulink model of the proposed control control strategy.



Fig. 11. Schematic of the control board circuitry.

IV. PROTOTYPE SYSTEM

In this section we validate the proposed design strategy in a buck converter having a coupled-magnetic output filter, as illustrated in Figures 12 and 13 and Tables I and II. The converter operates under averaged current-mode control at a switching frequency of 400 kHz, and is designed to regulate the output at 14 volts (V) from a nominal input of 42 V; this conversion function is relevant to some emerging automotive applications, for example [24]. The converter is designed to support a load range of 25 watts (W) to 100 W, corresponding to a load resistance of 8 Ω to 2 Ω .

In addition to the coupled-magnetic element (described in detail below), the output filter comprises capacitors C_1 and C_2 . C_1 is implemented as a 10 μ F high-ripple, low-inductance film

 TABLE I

 Device parameters for the buck converter of Fig. 13

 (Magnetics are detailed in Table II).

C1	10 µF	ITW Paktron 106K050CS4
C_2	20 µF	Cornell-Dubilier 935C1W20K
(parallel combination)	100V, Polypropylend	
	$2200 \ \mu F$	Electrolytic, 50 V
		$(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{ESR}} = 0.040\Omega)$
	$0.1 \ \mu F$	Ceramic
Q	IRF1010E	N-Channel Power Mosfet
D	MUR3020WT	Common Cathode Diode
Q D	IRF1010E MUR3020WT	N-Channel Power Mosfet Common Cathode Diode

capacitor (ITW Paktron 106K050CS4). C₂ is implemented as a parallel combination of a 20 μ F polypropylene capacitor (Cornell-Dubilier 935C1W20K), a 2200 μ F electrolytic capacitor (50V, R_{ESR} = 0.040 Ω), and a 0.1 μ F ceramic capacitor. Additionally, a large 27 mF electrolytic capacitor was placed in parallel with the load (physically away from the converter output). This was done to represent the behavior of the battery that would be present in an automobile, for example, or the hold up capacitor that appears in many applications. Because this capacitor is in parallel with the remote load, away from the actual converter output, it does not have a significant impact on the converter output switching ripple or serve to attenuate EMI. The capacitor does, however, provide low-frequency voltage holdup during load transients.

A. Adaptive Coupled-Magnetic Design

The end-tapped configuration of the coupled-magnetic device (Fig. 2b) was chosen and implemented with the two windings separated on the bobbin in such a way as to minimize the capacitance across them. One winding was wound on the top half, while the other was wound on the bottom half of the bobbin. An RM10/I A315 3F3 core was used to construct the



Fig. 10. Simulated transient performance of the variable inductor control current as active tuning is enabled at time 0.1 seconds.



Fig. 12. Circuit schematic of the buck converter and output filter.



Fig. 13. Model of buck converter and coupled magnetic filter.



Fig. 14. Variable inductor.

coupled-magnetic device. A turns ratio of 5:4 (N_{DC} : N_{AC}) was used. For the DC winding, AWG 12 wire was used, and for the AC winding, Litz 175/40 wire was used. The resulting coupled-magnetic device parameters are listed in column 4 of Table II. Experimental measurements indicate that the windings appear inductive for frequencies of up to \sim 11 MHz.

The variable inductor was designed such that its tunable range captured the inductance to be cancelled, namely the sum of the shunt-path inductance of the coupled-magnetic device and the parasitic inductance of the shunt-path capacitor. Construction of the variable inductor was as follows: two turns of the coupled-magnetic AC winding were wound conventionally on the bobbin of a P14/8 A315 3F3 core. The control winding was constructed using 77 turns of AWG 28 wire wound through the center-post hole of the core (orthogonally to the inductance winding), as illustrated in Fig. 14. In order to reduce the control current required to partially saturate the variable inductor core, a high number of turns for the control winding was used.

The maximum power power dissipation in the control winding over the regulated range (for 0.96 A maximum current) was low (1.5 W), corresponding to 1.5 % of maximum output power. This was deemed acceptable for the purposes of the prototype design.

B. Conventional Filter Designs

For comparison purposes, two conventional designs have also been implemented. To provide an even comparison, the same magnetic core type was utilized in each case with similar values for total series-path inductance ($L_A + L_B$ in Fig. 13). The characteristics of all three magnetic component designs are shown in Table II.

The first conventional design is a conventional buck converter inductor without coupled magnetics. In this implemen-

TABLE II DEVICE PARAMETERS FOR THE COMPARISON OF THE THREE FILTER TOPOLOGIES USING THE BUCK CONVERTER OF FIG. 13

Magnetics	Inductor	"Zero-Ripple"	Tuned Coupled
	Filter	Filter	Magnetic Filter
Construction	RM10/I, A315	RM10/I, A315	RM10/I, A315
	3F3 Core	3F3 Core	3F3 Core
	5 Turns	5:5 Turns	5:4 Turns
L _A	8.04 µH	7.70 μH	6.13 μH
L _B	0 µH	0.34 μH	1.67 μH
L _C	$0 \mu H$	0.17 μH	- 0.82 μH

tation, capacitor C_1 appears in parallel with C_2 (reducing the order of the filter), but there is no coupling sensitivity in the design. The second conventional design is a "zeroripple" design in which the shunt-path inductance (L_C in Fig. 13) is designed to be as close to zero as possible without external trimming. It was found that a 5:5 turns ratio ($N_{DC} : N_{AC}$) provided an effective shunt-path inductance of approximately 170 nH under low flux conditions, yielding the best approximation to a "zero-ripple" design within the overall design requirements. The capacitors C_1 and C_2 are realized in the same manner as in the adaptive design described in the previous section.

C. Experimental Results for Adaptive Cancellation

The tuning method was implemented using the adaptive coupled-magnetic device described above. Time and frequency domain measurements were performed for the converter minimum load condition ($R_L = 8 \Omega$) both with adaptive inductance cancellation disabled and enabled. All time domain experimental measurements were bandwidth limited to 20 MHz, though this was not seen to have a significant impact on the results. Figures 15 and 17 illustrate a reduction of greater than a factor of 5 in the peak-to-peak output ripple amplitude when active tuning is enabled.

Measurements were also made of the spectra of the output ripple with adaptive cancellation disabled and enabled using an Agilent 4395A Network/Spectrum/Impedance Analyzer with an Agilent 1141A AC-coupled differential probe. Results reflect an improvement of approximately 16 dBuV for the first two harmonic components (Figs. 16 and 18). These components are the main contributors to time-domain ripple, and the measured results are consistent with the peak-to-peak values observed in the time domain.

The transient performance of the adaptive inductance cancellation method was also experimentally assessed. To test this, the output of the converter was allowed to reach steady state. Once steady state was reached, adaptive cancellation was enabled by turning on the power supply to the control circuitry, and experimental measurements for the variable inductor control current and the converter output ripple were made. As illustrated in Figs. 19 and 20, the maximum reduction in output ripple amplitude is reached after approximately 100 ms when



Fig. 15. Measured converter output ripple using the adaptive coupledmagnetic filter with adaptive inductance cancellation disabled.

the DC component of $I_{\rm control}$ reaches its steady state value of 0.49 A and remains stable. The experimental results illustrate that the adaptive control is stable and effective at reducing the output ripple.

The experimental results match the simulation predictions within the limits of the model. The minor differences between the simulation predictions and experiment are within the bounds expected due to modeling simplifications. For example, the optimal value of $I_{\rm control}$ is reached faster than



Fig. 16. Measured spectrum of the converter output ripple using the adaptive coupled-magnetic filter with active tuning disabled.



Fig. 17. Measured converter output ripple using the adaptive coupledmagnetic filter with adaptive inductance cancellation enabled.

is predicted by simulation (Figs. 8 and 10). Likewise, the predicted reduction in ripple, as well as the peak-to-peak ripple values predicted by simulation are lower than those measured experimentally. This is due to the fact that the simplified state-space model that was used for the converter contains only the essential components that influence the overall converter dynamics and neglects some of the parasitics present in the system. Nevertheless, it can be seen from the experimental results that the adaptive system is stable and effective at



Fig. 18. Measured spectrum of the converter output ripple using the adaptive coupled-magnetic filter with active tuning enabled.

reducing power converter output ripple.

The performance of the adaptive tuning method was also assessed in the presence of a slow-varying load (Fig. 21). The converter load consists of a parallel combination of a resistor and a 27 mF hold-up capacitor. The converter is designed to operate under $2 - 8 \Omega$ resistive load conditions. Load transient



Fig. 19. Measured transient performance of the variable inductor control current as active tuning is enabled.



Fig. 20. Measured transient performance of the converter output ripple as active tuning is enabled. The measured signal is highly undersampled.



Fig. 21. Measured converter output ripple during a maximum (25 - 100 % power) load transient. The ripple is measured by AC coupling of the output voltage measurement. The measured signal is highly undersampled.

measurements were performed for the maximum allowed load step. Experimental results under maximum load step conditions result in a limited (< 5 %) transient in output voltage. Observing the (undersampled) switching ripple during the transient by AC coupling the output voltage measurement, it is seen that the adaptive control is able to provide the desired ripple cancellation without undesired interactions with the output voltage control.

D. Comparison with Conventional Filter Designs

It is also informative to compare the coupled magnetic filter with adaptive inductance cancellation to the conventional designs described in Section IV-B. Figures 22 and 23 show the time and frequency domain ripple measurements for the converter using a conventional inductor. Comparing these results to those of Figs. 17 and 18, it can be seen that the coupled-magnetic filter with adaptive inductance cancellation provides more than a factor of 10 (> 20 dB) improvement in output ripple performance as compared to a buck converter with the same passive component size.

It is also interesting to compare these results to the "best designed" "zero-ripple" filter, in which "shunt-path" inductance is minimized. Results from this design are shown in Figs. 24 and 25. It can be seen that the performance of this design is substantially better than that of the converter without coupled magnetics, but falls far short of those achieved with the adaptive inductance cancellation. In fact, performance of this filter (with minimized shunt-path inductance) is slightly worse than the performance of the coupled magnetics design with adaptive cancellation disabled. This is attributed to the fact that



Fig. 22. Measured converter output ripple using a conventional inductor filter. Note the scale of 10 mV/division.

despite having a higher shunt-path impedance (without active cancellation), inductance L_B of Fig. 13 is higher in the design for active cancellation, thereby providing a better second filter stage. Ultimately, it may be concluded that the ripple performance of the coupled-magnetic filter with inductance cancellation is a factor of more than five better than any of the other options explored, and provides a much higher robustness to manufacturing and operating point variations owing to its use of closed-loop control.



Fig. 23. Measured spectrum of the converter output ripple using a conventional inductor filter.



Fig. 24. Measured converter output using a "zero-ripple" filter. Note the scale of 10 mV/division.



Fig. 25. Measured spectrum of the converter output ripple using a "zero-ripple" filter.

V. CONCLUSION

Conventional filter circuits suffer from a number of limitations, including performance degradation due to capacitor parasitic inductance and the size and cost of magnetic elements. Coupled-magnetic filters have been developed that provide increased filter order with a single magnetic component, but also suffer from parasitic inductance in the filter shunt path due to imperfectly-controlled coupling of the magnetics. This paper introduces coupled-magnetic filters with adaptive inductance cancellation control. The proposed approach provides a robust method of increasing filter order and canceling the effects of parasitic inductance without a substantial increase in filter size and cost. Simulation and experimental results confirm the high performance of the proposed approach in a dc/dc power converter application. It may be concluded that the proposed approach offers greatly improved ripple attenuation and robustness in circuits employing coupled-magnetic filters, and has merit where these advantages justify the needed control circuitry.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the United States Office of Naval Research for support of this work under ONR grant N00014-02-1-0481.

REFERENCES

- T.K. Phelps, W.S. Tate, "Optimizing Passive Input Filter Design," Proc. of the 6th National Solid-State Power Conversion Conf., May 1979, pp. G1-1 - G1-10.
- [2] T.C. Neugebauer, J.W. Phinney, D.J. Perreault, "Filters and Components with Inductance Cancellation," *IEEE Trans. Industry Applic.*, Mar./Apr. 2004, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 483 – 491.
- [3] T.C. Neugebauer, D.J. Perreault, "Filters With Inductance Cancellation Using Printed Circuit Board Transformers," *IEEE Trans. Power Elect.*, May 2004, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 591–601.
- [4] P.T. Krein, <u>Elements of Power Electronics</u>, New York: Oxford University Press, 1998, pp. 381–392.
- [5] G.B. Crouse,"Electrical Filter," U.S. Patent No. 1,920,948, Aug. 1, 1933.
- [6] S. Feng, W.A. Sander, and T.G. Wilson, "Small-Capacitance Nondissipative Ripple Filters for DC Supplies" *IEEE Trans. Mag.*, Vol. 6, No. 1, March 1970, pp. 137–142.
- [7] R. Balog and P.T. Krein, "Automatic Tuning of Coupled Inductor Filters," 2002 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2002, pp. 591 – 596.
- [8] J. Phinney and D.J. Perreault, "Filters with Active Tuning for Power Applications," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, Vol. 18, No. 2, March 2003, pp. 636 – 647.
- [9] D.C. Hamill and P.T. Krein, "A 'Zero' Ripple Technique Applicable to Any DC Converter," *1999 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, June 1999, pp. 1165 –1171.
- [10] J.W. Kolar, H. Sree, N. Mohan, and F.C. Zach, "Novel Aspects of an Application of 'Zero'-Ripple Techniques to Basic Converter Topologies," *1997 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, June 1997, pp. 796 – 803.
- [11] R.P. Severns and G.E. Bloom, <u>Modern DC-to-DC Switchmode Power</u> <u>Converter Circuits</u>, Chapter 12, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1985.
- [12] G.E. Bloom and R. Severns, "The Generalized Use of Integrated Magnetics and Zero-Ripple Techniques in Switchmode Power Converters," 1984 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 1984, pp. 15 – 33.
- [13] S. Senini and P.J. Wolfs, "The Coupled Inductor Filter: Analysis and Design for AC Systems," *IEEE Trans. Indust. Elect.*, Vol. 45, No. 4, Aug. 1998, pp. 574 – 578.
- [14] R. Heartz and H. Buelteman, "The Application of Perpendicularly Superposed Magnetic Fields," *AIEE Transactions*, Part I, Vol. 74, Nov. 1955, pp. 655 – 660.
- [15] H.J. McCreary, "The Magnetic Cross Valve," AIEE Transactions, Part II, Vol. 70, 1951, pp. 1868 – 1875.
- [16] F.J. Beck and J.M. Kelly, "Magnetization in Perpendicularly Superposed Direct and Alternating Fields," *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 19, June 1948, pp. 551–562.
- [17] J.W. Phinney, "Filters with Active Tuning for Power Applications," S.M. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, May 2001.
- [18] D.L. Logue, "Power Electronic Building Block Applications in Optimization, Control, and Simulation," *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, October 2000.

- [19] D.L. Logue and P.T. Krein, "Optimization of Power Electronic Systems Using Ripple Correlation Control: A Dynamic Programming Approach," 2001 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2001, pp. 459 – 464.
- [20] P. Midya and P.T. Krein, "Feed-Forward Active Filter for Output Ripple Cancellation," *Int. J. Electronics*, Vol. 77, No. 5, June 1994, pp. 805 – 818.
- [21] J.G. Kassakian, M.F. Schlecht, and G.C. Verghese, <u>Principles of Power</u> Electronics, New York:Addison-Wesley, 1991, Ch. 11 – 12.
- [22] D.G. Luenberger, Introduction to Dynamic Systems, New York: John Wiley, 1979, Sect. 9.6 – 9.8.
- [23] D.S. Lymar, "Coupled-Magnetic Filters with Adaptive Inductance Cancellation," *M.Eng. Thesis*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, June 2005.
- [24] T.C. Neugebauer and D.J. Perreault, "Compter-Aided Design of DC/DC Converters for Automotive Applications," *IEEE Trans. Power Elect.*, May 2003, Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 775 – 783.