

Design of Dual-Output Alternators With Switched-Mode Rectification

Gimba Hassan, David J. Perreault, *Member, IEEE*, and Thomas A. Keim, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—The push to introduce dual-voltage (42 V/14 V) automotive electrical systems necessitates power generation solutions capable of supplying power to multiple outputs. A number of approaches for implementing dual-voltage electrical systems have been proposed, but most suffer from severe cost or performance limitations. This paper explores the design of alternators incorporating dual-output switched-mode rectifiers (SMRs). The proposed approach enables the full load-matched power capability of the alternator machine to be achieved, with power delivered to the two outputs in any desired combination. SMR topologies for this application are introduced. Alternator/SMR design guidelines are established, and appropriate control laws are derived. Simulation and experimental results are presented that demonstrate the feasibility and high performance of the approach.

Index Terms—Dual-voltage electrical systems, power generation, switched-mode rectifier (SMR).

I. INTRODUCTION

THE electrification of many automotive functions and the introduction of new features are dramatically increasing the electrical power requirements of vehicles. Functions including electrically powered pumps and valves, and amenities such as heated windscreens and seats, entertainment electronics, and navigational aids are driving up the electrical power demand in vehicles [1], [6], [18]. The increasing power demands are becoming very challenging within the context of the present 14-V electrical system and have sparked investigation of higher-voltage electrical systems. The introduction of a 42-V electrical system for future automobiles is gaining widespread industry acceptance [6]. Power generation solutions capable of supplying power to two separate buses (e.g., 14 and 42 V) are, thus, becoming important for future vehicles.

Various architectures have been proposed for implementing dual-voltage electrical systems [1], [3]–[8], [10], [11], [13]–[15], [17]. Architectures under consideration in the automobile industry include dc/dc converter based architectures and dual-voltage alternator architectures.

In a dc/dc converter-based architecture (see Fig. 1), a conventional alternator generates 42 V and supplies the 42-V bus. The 42-V dc is further processed by a dc/dc converter to supply the 14-V bus. The design of dc/dc converters is relatively well understood, and such converters can achieve very good performance. Nevertheless, dc/dc converters for this application are




Fig. 1. DC/DC converter based architecture.




Fig. 2. Dual-wound alternator architecture.

extremely expensive by automotive standards, and are significantly larger than desired. As a result, this architecture is technically viable (and is likely to be used in the short term) but is probably not economically advantageous over the long term.

An alternative approach is the introduction of an alternator that can directly supply multiple outputs [2], [3], [5], [8], [10], [11]. Possible dual-output alternators include dual-wound alternators (see Fig. 2), and dual-rectified alternators (see Fig. 3).

Fig. 2 illustrates the structure of a dual-wound alternator. In this topology, the alternator has two separate sets of stator windings, each supplying an output via a rectifier. In one possible implementation, the 42-V bus is supplied by one winding via a diode bridge rectifier, while the 14-V bus is supplied by the other winding via a phase-controlled rectifier [3]. The two outputs are controlled by a combination of field control and phase control. The fact that field control is common to both outputs poses serious difficulties in fully regulating both outputs, and in achieving good use of the alternator machine power capability under all operating conditions. The dual rectified alternator (Fig. 3) comprises an alternator machine with a single winding and a dual-output rectifier to supply both the high and low voltage buses. One implementation approach that has been explored in the past [3], [8], [10], [11] is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Manuscript received June 27, 2003; revised February 4, 2004. Recommended by Associate Editor X. Xu.

The authors are with the Laboratory for Electromagnetic and Electronic Systems, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139 USA (e-mail: gimbah@mit.edu; djperrea@mit.edu; tkeim@mit.edu).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPEL.2004.839816




Fig. 3. Dual rectified alternator architecture.




Fig. 4. Dual rectified alternator with phase-controlled rectifier.

In the implementation of Fig. 4, a conventional diode bridge rectifier is used to supply the 42-V bus. The 14-V bus is supplied through a semi-controlled rectifier made of three additional thyristors in conjunction with the bottom diodes of the diode bridge. The two outputs can be regulated by a combination of field current and phase control. This approach has been shown to have major limitations [2], [3], [10]. One limitation is that it is difficult to optimize the machine to be well utilized under different loading conditions. Furthermore, extremely large output filters are required due to the very large, low frequency current ripple appearing at the outputs. The frequency of this ripple is a small multiple of the low alternator electrical frequency and occurs as the thyristors chop current between the outputs.

This paper explores the design of dual-rectified alternators incorporating switched-mode rectifiers (SMRs). The design and control approach explored here overcomes the limitations of previous dual-output alternators. Section II of the paper introduces a new class of dual-output switched-mode rectifiers that are suitable for this application, and derives appropriate alternator/SMR control laws. Design guidelines for the alternator and switched-mode rectifier are established in Section III. Section IV presents simulation results based on the proposed models and controls. Design of a prototype system and the description of the experimental setup is presented in Section V. Section VI discusses the experimental results from the prototype system. These results demonstrate the feasibility and high performance of the approach. Finally, Section VII concludes the paper.

II. A NEW DUAL-OUTPUT ALTERNATOR

Here we introduce the new alternator design and control laws for it. We consider Lundell-type alternators as these machines




Fig. 5. Simple Lundell alternator model.

are extremely inexpensive and almost universally used in automotive applications. The Lundell machine is a wound-field synchronous machine, and hence has field current i_f as a control input. In developing alternator designs and control laws we adopt the simplified models for such systems developed in [2], [5], [9], [16], and [26]. As shown in Fig. 5, the alternator is modeled as a three-phase set of back emf voltages, each in series with an inductance L_s . A diode bridge rectifies the alternator output into a constant voltage load V_x .

Based on the results of [9], [16], the alternator output power P_{out} can be calculated as

$$P_{out} = \frac{3V_x}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{V_s^2 - \left(\frac{2V_x}{\pi}\right)^2}{\omega^2 L_s^2}} \quad (1)$$

where V_x is the output voltage, V_s is the line to neutral back emf magnitude, ω is the alternator electrical frequency, and L_s is the armature synchronous inductance. Computing the back emf voltage as $V_s = k\omega i_f$ (where k is the machine constant in V-s/(rad-A) and i_f is the field current), this can be written as

$$P_{out} = \frac{3V_x}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(k\omega i_f)^2 - \left(\frac{2V_x}{\pi}\right)^2}{\omega^2 L_s^2}} \quad (2)$$

To find the load matching condition where output power is maximized,¹ (2) is differentiated with respect to V_x and equated to zero

$$\frac{\partial P_{out}}{\partial V_x} = \frac{3}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(k\omega i_f)^2 - \left(\frac{2V_x}{\pi}\right)^2}{\omega^2 L_s^2}} - \frac{3V_x}{2\pi} \left(\frac{(k\omega i_f)^2 - \left(\frac{2V_x}{\pi}\right)^2}{\omega^2 L_s^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{8V_x}{\pi^2 \omega^2 L_s^2} = 0. \quad (3)$$

This can be solved for the load-matched operating voltage

$$V_x = \frac{\pi k \omega i_f}{2\sqrt{2}}. \quad (4)$$

Thus in the load-matched case, we find an average output current of

$$\langle i_x \rangle = \frac{3k i_f}{\sqrt{2\pi} L_s} \quad (5)$$

¹That is, the fixed load voltage V_x for which maximum alternator output power is achieved.




Fig. 6. New dual-output switched-mode rectifier.

which results in a load-matched alternator output power of

$$P_{\text{out}} = \frac{3k^2\omega i_f^2}{4L_s}. \quad (6)$$

Thus, (4) specifies an output voltage for load-matching that results in output power (6). These results will be used to develop dual-output rectifiers that achieve load-matched operation.

A. Rectifier Topology and Operation

Fig. 6 introduces the new dual-output switched-mode rectifier that we use in our design. Alternative implementations having similar operating characteristics are illustrated in Fig. 7(a)–(c). For clarity we analyze the simpler structure of Fig. 7(c); the rectifier of Fig. 6 operates similarly, but has the rectification and modulation functions integrated into a single stage. To understand the operation of the proposed system, consider the modulation strategy illustrated in Fig. 8. The switching frequency is far higher than the alternator electrical frequency, so alternator phase currents (and rectifier current i_x) may be considered constant during an individual switching cycle. Furthermore, the alternator current i_x is developed based on the local average (over a switching cycle) of the voltage v_x .

Each switching cycle can be broken up into three time segments: In the first segment, which we denote as being hrT in length, the diode D_3 conducts delivering the rectified alternator current i_x to the 42-V bus. In the second time period, which lasts for $h(1-r)T$, Q_2 is switched on. In this period diode D_2 and switch Q_2 conduct, delivering the rectified alternator current i_x to the 14-V bus. In the final time period, lasting $(1-h)T$, switch Q_1 conducts and the current i_x is shunted to the return bringing the voltage v_x to zero. (See waveforms “A,” “D,” and “E” of Fig. 8).

Equations for the average rectified bridge voltage $\langle v_x \rangle$ and the average output currents $\langle i_{42} \rangle$ and $\langle i_{14} \rangle$ are derived from waveforms A, D, and E in Fig. 8

$$\langle v_x \rangle = hrV_{42} + h(1-r)V_{14} \quad (7)$$

$$\langle i_{42} \rangle = hr i_x \quad (8)$$

$$\langle i_{14} \rangle = h(1-r)i_x \quad (9)$$

where

v_x, i_x instantaneous alternator voltage and current at the diode bridge output;

V_{14}, i_{14} instantaneous voltage and current at the 14 V bus;

V_{42}, i_{42} instantaneous voltage and current of 42-V bus; and “ h ” and “ r ” are switch time controls expressed as fractions.

It should be noted that in addition to semiconductor devices, practical implementations of the dual-output switched-mode rectifier must incorporate bypass capacitors (not shown) across each of the outputs. These capacitors ensure that the high-frequency ripple currents generated by the rectifier are returned internally with low impedance. While the numerical values and size of these capacitors can be relatively small due to the high rectifier switching frequency, they do represent a significant cost item because they must be rated for high ripple current. Nevertheless, these capacitors have ratings on the same scale as those found dc/dc converters for dual-voltage architectures [19] and in filters for other vehicular power applications [20]. Furthermore, as demonstrated in [21] in a single-output system, interleaving techniques [22]–[25] can be used to greatly reduce the required ripple current ratings of the capacitors. Thus, output filter capacitors are a significant but not prohibitive cost item, and if necessary their size and cost can be greatly reduced through proper design.

B. Alternator Control Law

Here, we consider a control law for the alternator and dual-output switched-mode rectifier of Fig. 7(c). Given desired (reference) output currents i_{14}^* and i_{42}^* , the controls specify the control handles h, r , and i_f such that the desired output currents are achieved. In the event that the desired power exceeds the output capability of the alternator for a given operating point, the alternator should deliver the maximum power possible, with output currents in the desired proportion. Furthermore, the control laws should guarantee that the maximum power capability of the alternator for a given operating point can be achieved.

From (6), the field current is expressed as

$$i_f = \sqrt{\frac{4L_s P_{\text{out}}}{3k^2\omega}}. \quad (10)$$

We also have $P_{\text{out}} = P_{14} + P_{42} = V_{14}i_{14}^* + V_{42}i_{42}^*$ where i_{14}^*, i_{42}^* are the desired currents on the 14-V and 42-V buses, respectively.

As described below, control laws meeting these requirements are given as follows:

$$i_f = \sqrt{\frac{4L_s}{3k^2\omega}(V_{14}i_{14}^* + V_{42}i_{42}^*)} \quad (11)$$

$$r = \frac{i_{42}^*}{i_{14}^* + i_{42}^*} \quad (12)$$

$$h = \frac{\pi k \omega i_f}{2\sqrt{2}(rV_{42} + (1-r)V_{14})} \quad (13)$$

where

i_f, r, h control handles;
 i_{14}^*, i_{42}^* current commands (references);
 k machine constant in V-s/rad-A;
 L_s machine synchronous inductance in henrys;
 ω alternator electrical frequency in rad/s.

Equation (11) is based on the load-matched power capability derived in (6). It sets the field current i_f to that required for the desired output power (equal to $V_{14}i_{14}^* + V_{42}i_{42}^*$) up to the





Fig. 7. (a)–(c) Alternative rectifier implementations.

Fig. 8. Circuit waveforms over a switching cycle (A) voltage v_x , (B) Q_1 gate signal, (C) Q_2 gate signal, (D) i_{14} , and (E) i_{42} .

maximum permissible level. (12) picks the fraction “ r ” such that the currents at the two outputs are in the desired proportion. “ r ” is the fraction of time i_x is delivered to the 42-V bus as compared to the time it is delivered to either the 14-V or 42-V bus. Choosing “ r ” directly in this fashion guarantees that the output currents will remain in the desired proportion even if the total desired output power is not achievable. Finally, time fraction “ h ” in (13) is selected to maintain the load matched condition on the alternator (or as close to it as possible) such as that the full power capability of the alternator can be achieved under any operating condition. Equation (13) follows directly from a combination of the required load-matched voltage $v_x = \langle v_x \rangle$ (4) and that imposed by the control (7).

It should be understood that current sensors are not required to implement the proposed control system. The desired current references i_{14}^* , i_{42}^* are developed based on measurements of the output voltages (and their deviations from desired values). These references along with the alternator electrical frequency




Fig. 9. Tradeoffs in alternator performance and device sizing versus alternator winding.

are used to generate the controls h , r , and i_f as specified in (11)–(13). These controls will result in output currents close to the desired references as predicted by the simplified system model, without the need for current measurements. Reasonable differences between the actual output currents and the reference currents are not of great consequence, as the voltage control loops will inherently correct for these while maintaining both output voltages at the desired levels. In cases where the total demanded power is greater than that available from the alternator, one or both outputs will fall out of regulation (similar to the present-day system). Nevertheless, the dual-output control system guarantees that the available current will be split between the two outputs in the desired manner, as set by the current references.




Fig. 10. Simulated alternator output over the control range at (a) 1800 r/min and (b) 6000 r/min.

III. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND TRADEOFFS

The alternator machine parameters, (e.g., k , L_s , and R_s) depend on the machine geometry and how it is wound. Machine winding in part reflects a choice between many turns of small wire versus fewer turns of large wire. Since the SMR control range depends on the parameters mentioned above, the alternator winding selection produces a tradeoff between the power capability at the two outputs versus speed and the ratings of the rectifier devices. It may be desirable to wind the alternator in such a way that maximum available load-matched power can be delivered to either output. However, achieving this capability heavily impacts SMR device sizing. To illustrate this tradeoff, Fig. 9 shows graphs of device and output current ratings versus the normalized number of stator winding turns for a conventional 120-A 14-V alternator geometry. (The winding normalization is such that 1 represents the number of turns on a conventional 120-A 14-V alternator design.)

It can be seen that at idle speed, there is no remarkable change in the output current capability at the two buses with variation in number of stator winding turns. This is also true for the 42-V current capability at cruising speed (up to a normalized number of winding turns of approximately 0.8.). There is a great variation, however, in the output current capability at the 14-V bus at cruising speed and in the RMS current rating of the boost switch as the number of stator winding turns varies. Achieving full load-matched power capability into the 14-V bus at cruising speed requires a greatly reduced number of winding turns (~ 0.3 normalized). This capability is achieved only at greatly increased current rating of devices Q_1 (shown) and Q_2 (which tracks I_{14}). This trend makes sense: if the alternator is to be able to direct full matched power to either output under all conditions, the machine must have a load matched voltage below 14 V under all conditions, and the SMR must operate over a wide boost range to direct load-matched power to either output. This results in a high rating of the SMR devices.

As full load-matched capability at cruising speed is not likely to be needed, an intermediate design that cannot direct full load-matched power to either bus under all conditions may be more desirable in view of rectifier component ratings. For most practical designs, normalized winding turns in the range of 0.7–1 may be desirable, as it enables full power delivery under idle-

speed conditions to either bus, and full power delivery to the 42-V bus under any condition, while preserving low rectifier device ratings. The graph of Fig. 9 (or a similar one for an alternator geometry of choice) may be utilized to evaluate this tradeoff.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulations of the averaged model and a circuit model were performed using Matlab and Pspice, respectively, to determine the performance of the circuit under certain values of the machine parameters.

The parameters for the alternator used for the simulations are given as follows:

- 1) $R_s = 0$ (The actual value is $\sim 33 \text{ m}\Omega$, but is neglected to enable the simplified equations to be used);
- 2) $L_s = 135 \mu\text{H}$ (The actual value is closer to $105 \mu\text{H}$, but increased to compensate for neglected stator resistance);
- 3) $k = 0.004 \text{ v-s/(rad)A}$ f , the electrical frequency of the alternator voltage is computed using

$$f = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\text{r/min}}{60} \quad (14)$$

where P is the number of poles (12) and r/min is the alternator's speed in revolutions per minute (r/min);

- 4) $f = 180 \text{ Hz}$, 600 Hz for idle (1800 r/min) and cruising (6000 r/min) speeds, respectively;
- 5) i_f , the field current for this alternator (named alternator-A), is set not to exceed 3.6 amps;
- 6) $f_{\text{sw}} = 100 \text{ kHz}$ (SMR switching frequency).

The characteristics of the Alternator/SMR system were first investigated analytically with Matlab, using the averaged equations developed in Section II. The simulations were performed for idle speed (1800 r/min), and cruising speed (6000 r/min), using the machine parameters indicated. Matlab results obtained from varying the control handles " r " and h at $i_f = 3.6 \text{ A}$ are illustrated in Fig. 10(a) for idle machine speed, and in Fig. 10(b) for cruising speed. The hatched regions of Fig. 10(a) and (b) represent achievable operating points of the simulated alternator. These show that it is possible to obtain any desired combination of bus currents, within machine capability, by selecting the appropriate control handles r , h , and i_f .




Fig. 11. Pspice simulation results. (a) Comparison of performance at idle speed and full field with actual and simplified machine parameters. (b) Comparison of performance at idle speed and full field with ideal devices and with device drops modeled.

In the Pspice simulations, the effects of stator resistance and device drops were investigated. Two values of stator resistance and inductance were considered, corresponding to actual and approximate machine characteristics. (For simplicity, the control equations assume zero stator resistance. The machine inductance is increased slightly to model the impedance associated with the neglected resistance.) Fig. 11(a) contains the results for the Pspice simulations with actual (including resistance) and simplified machine parameters. The results indicate that the simplification used to develop the control equations is acceptable. The effect of device drops can be seen in the Pspice simulation results illustrated Fig. 11(b). It can be seen that one effect of the device drops is to reduce the achievable current at the 14-V output as compared to theoretical calculations. The impact on the 42-V output is much lower.

V. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Here, we describe the prototype system that was developed to validate the proposed approach.

A. Experimental Alternator and Drive Stand

The setup for the experiment is based on a standard Lundell automotive alternator (14-V 65/130 A) that is driven by a computer controlled variable-speed drive (13.4 kW). For the purpose of this experiment, the internal alternator field regulator and the full bridge rectifier were disabled. An external constant-current power supply is instead connected to the field winding to supply the needed field current. Electronic loads, each with electrolytic capacitor banks (40000 μF , 50 Vdc) form the constant-voltage loads to represent the batteries of a real system. The SMR outputs are connected to the electronic loads. The capacitor banks are connected to absorb any ripple at the outputs. Current probes are connected to measure the currents in the buses and are connected to the oscilloscope through the current amplifier. A four-channel oscilloscope is connected for monitoring and measurements of currents, voltages, and gate




Fig. 12. Alternator and motor drive.

signals. Pictures of the experimental setup are shown in Figs. 12 and 13.

B. Prototype Switched-Mode Rectifier

The structure of the prototype switched-mode rectifier is shown in Fig. 6. The switches are N-channel MOSFETs (IXYS IXFN230N10). The diodes are each realized using a DSS2x41-01 A Schottky diode module. The switched mode rectifier also includes multilayer film capacitors across its outputs (ITW Paktron type 106 K 100 V). Nine capacitors are used across the 14-V output, and six are used across the 42-V output. A 16-b microcontroller C167CR is used to generate the desired pulse-width modulation (PWM) commands.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS AND RESULTS

A. Parameters and Control Handle Calculations

In order to determine the three control handles to be used in the experiment, the control equations developed earlier in Sec-



Fig. 13. Experimental setup.

tion II are used. We intend to display the capabilities of the experimental apparatus and the operation of the control laws by operating at many combinations of i_{14} and i_{42} . It is convenient to gather and present data in the form of the interrelation between i_{14} and i_{42} when the alternator field current and speed are fixed. To select a matrix of test points, it is convenient to first select a range of values for i_{42}^* to be achieved under the condition $i_{14}^* = 0$. We have selected the following points:

$$i_{42}^*|_{i_{14}^*=0} = (31 \text{ A}, 19 \text{ A}, 13 \text{ A}, 9 \text{ A}, 5 \text{ A}, 3 \text{ A}).$$

The corresponding values of i_f are then calculated at idle speed.

The corresponding field currents are

$$i_f = (3.6 \text{ A}, 2.81 \text{ A}, 2.33 \text{ A}, 1.94 \text{ A}, 1.45 \text{ A}, 1.12 \text{ A}).$$

For each value of i_f , r is varied from 0 to 1 with step of 0.1, thus

$$r = (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1).$$

These values of r, i_f are used to determine the values of corresponding control handle h . The calculated values of the control handles i_f, r , and h are used in the experiment. The control handle h represents a fraction of the rectifier's fundamental period T . It therefore is limited to values between 0 and 1. Considering the origin of (13), it is apparent that the condition $h > 1$ occurs, particularly for large values of i_f and low values of r . A command of $h > 1$ reflects a requested power that exceeds the (idealized) alternator capability. h is restricted to the range (0, 1), which will result in the load-matched power if it is achievable, and the maximum power possible in the desired output combination if load-matching is not achievable. It was also found through experimentation and simulation that, particularly at high field currents, a lower h than predicted by the idealized equations results in the best output characteristics. This results from approximations developing the idealized equations (such as neglecting stator resistance and voltage harmonics). To correct for this, the originally-determined values of h are multiplied by 0.8, referred here as the correction factor, which we find gives us very close to the desired performance.




Fig. 14. SMR voltage and gating waveforms over a switching cycle.




Fig. 15. Alternator-A output currents (A) at idle speed.

B. Problems Encountered

It is worth mentioning that after the alternator's idle-speed performance characteristics were measured for all calculated field currents, the machine stator winding was accidentally destroyed by overloading in an unrelated experiment. This resulted in the use of a second alternator. Thus, experimental measurements for the idle and cruising speeds were performed on the newly installed alternator which will henceforth, for easy identification, be referred as alternator-B. The former will be referred as alternator-A. Alternator-B has similar characteristics to alternator-A except that its full-scale field current is approximately 4.2 A instead of 3.6 A.

C. Experimental Results

Representative waveforms of voltage v_x (phase-to-ground for one phase) and the gating signals as recorded by the oscilloscope are shown in Fig. 14 for a part of the cycle when that phase is delivering current to the output. Experimental results for alternator-A (idle speed) are shown in Fig. 15 and the results for alternator-B (at idle and cruising speeds) are displayed in Figs. 16 and 17.




Fig. 16. Alternator-B output currents (A) at idle speed.




Fig. 17. Alternator-B output currents (A) at cruising speed.

D. Discussion of Experimental Results

Here we analyze the experimental results. Fig. 15 (alternator-A) and Fig. 16 (alternator-B) show experimental results for idle speed operation of the prototype system over the full output command range. Similar results are illustrated in Fig. 17 for cruising speed operation of alternator-B. These results are consistent with the predicted performance of the system and demonstrate the ability to achieve the desired system functionality. The operating range boundaries are close (within 10%) to those predicted in simulation with fixed machine parameters (e.g., compare Figs. 11 and 15). While the differences between the averaged model predictions and actual current outputs are larger in some cases (up to about 20%), they are within the ranges expected given the modeling simplifications employed, and the responses are monotonic with command (reference) levels. As described previously, these differences are not a major concern, as the voltage control loops will naturally compensate for them in the process of regulating the outputs.

Interestingly, the results presented in Figs. 16 and 17 are for alternator-B, a machine whose parameters may not be exactly the same as those of the original alternator-A which were used in determining the control handles. Performance of alternators-A and B are very close except that full field current of alternator-B is 4.2 A, rather than 3.6 A for alternator-A. These results both show consistency with expectations, therefore indicating that the SMR technique is easily adaptable to different types of alternators without serious sensitivity to the machine parameters.

These results demonstrate the feasibility and high performance of the proposed approach. Thus, the dual-output alternator overcomes the limitations prevailing with other designs as mentioned in Section I. It has been shown that to a reasonable approximation the SMR approach enables the full load-matched power capability of the alternator machine to be achieved at idle speed, with power delivered to the two outputs in any desired combination. At higher speeds, the output power capability is consistent with the alternator design as highlighted in Section III.

VII. CONCLUSION

The push to introduce dual-voltage (42 V/14 V) automotive electrical systems necessitates power generation solutions capable of supplying power to multiple outputs. A number of approaches for implementing dual-voltage electrical systems have been proposed, but most suffer from severe cost or performance limitations. This paper explores the design of alternators incorporating dual-output switched-mode rectifiers. The proposed approach enables the full load-matched power capability of the alternator machine to be achieved, with power delivered to the two outputs in any desired combination. Switched mode rectifier topologies for this application are introduced, and design guidelines for the Alternator/SMR system are established. An alternator control law is devised that enables full utilization of the machine capability. Simulation and experimental results that demonstrate the feasibility and high performance of the approach are also presented. It is anticipated that the technology proposed here will be of value in future dual-voltage automotive electrical systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Miller, "Multiple voltage electrical power distribution system for automotive applications," in *Proc. Intersoc. Energy Conversion Conf.*, vol. 3, Washington, DC, Aug. 1996, pp. 1930–1937.
- [2] D. J. Perreault and V. Caliskan, "Automotive power generation and control," Laboratory for Electromagnetic and Electronic Systems (LEES), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Tech. Rep. TR-00-003, May 24, 2000.
- [3] J. C. Byrum, "Comparative evaluation of dual-voltage automotive alternators," Laboratory for Electromagnetic and Electronic Systems (LEES), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Tech. Rep. TR-00-012, Nov. 6, 2000.
- [4] J. G. Kassakian, J. M. Miller, and N. Traub, "Automotive electronics power up," *IEEE Spectr.*, no. 5, pp. 34–39, May 2000.
- [5] D. J. Perreault and V. Caliskan, "A new design for Automotive alternators," in *Proc. Int. Congress Transportation Electronics (Convergence'00)*, Oct. 2000, pp. 583–594.
- [6] J. M. Miller, D. Goel, D. Kaminski, H. P. Schöner, and T. M. Jahns, "Making the case for a next generation automotive electrical system," in *Proc. Int. Congress Transportation Electronics (Convergence'98)*, Oct. 1998, pp. 41–51.
- [7] G. A. Williams and M. J. Holt, "The future of vehicle electrical power systems and their impact on system design," in *Proc. Future Transportation Technology Conf. Expo*, Portland, OR, Aug. 1991.

- [8] J. Becker, M. Pourkermani, and E. Saraie, "Dual-voltage alternators," in *Proc. Int. Truck Bus Meeting Expo*, Toledo, OH, Nov. 1992, pp. 16–19.
- [9] V. Caliskan, D. J. Perreault, T. M. Jahns, and J. G. Kassakian, "Analysis of three-phase rectifiers with constant-voltage loads," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 1220–1225, Sep. 2003.
- [10] C. R. Smith, "Review of heavy duty dual voltage systems," in *Proc. Int. Off-Highway Power Plant Congress Expo*, Milwaukee, WI, Sep. 1991.
- [11] J. O. Dwyer, C. Patterson, and T. Reibe, "Dual voltage alternator," in *Proc. Inst. Elect. Eng. Colloq. Machines Automotive Applications*, Nov. 1996, pp. 4/1–4/5.
- [12] J. G. Kassakian, "Automotive electrical systems—The power electronics market of the future," in *Proc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conf. Expo (APEC'00)*, vol. 1, New Orleans, LA, Feb. 2000, pp. 3–9.
- [13] J. V. Hellmann and R. J. Sandel, "Dual/high voltage vehicle electrical systems," in *Proc. Future Transportation Technology Conf. Expo*, Portland, OR, Aug. 1991.
- [14] M. F. Matouka, "Design considerations for higher voltage automotive electrical systems," in *Proc. Future Transportation Technology Conf. Expo*, Portland, OR, Aug. 1991.
- [15] S. Muller and X. Pfab, "Considerations implementing a dual voltage power network," in *Proc. IEEE SAE Int. Conf. Transportation Electronics (Convergence)*, Dearborn, MI, Oct. 1998.
- [16] V. Caliskan, D. J. Perreault, T. M. Jahns, and J. G. Kassakian, "Analysis of three-phase rectifiers with constant-voltage loads," in *Proc. 1999 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conf.*, Charleston, SC, June 1999, pp. 715–720.
- [17] D. Talbot, "A new 42-volt standard," *Technol. Rev.*, pp. 10–11, Jul./Aug. 2002.
- [18] J. G. Kassakian, H. C. Wolf, J. M. Miller, and C. J. Hurton, "Automotive electrical systems circa 2005," *IEEE Spectr.*, pp. 22–27, Aug. 1996.
- [19] T. C. Neugebauer and D. J. Perreault, "Computer-aided optimization of dc/dc converters for automotive applications," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 775–783, May 2003.
- [20] A. C. Chow and D. J. Perreault, "Active EMI filters for automotive motor drives," in *Proc. 2002 IEEE Workshop Power Electronics Transportation*, Auburn Hills, MI, Oct. 2002, pp. 127–134.
- [21] S.-C. Tang, D. J. Perreault, and T. A. Keim, "Electronically-aided power generation and control: Design principle of interleaved switched-mode rectifier for Lundell alternator," in *Proc. MIT/Industry Consortium Advanced Automotive Electrical/Electronic Components Systems*, Aug. 2003.
- [22] B. A. Miwa, D. M. Otten, and M. F. Schlecht, "High efficiency power factor correction using interleaving techniques," in *Proc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conf.*, 1992, pp. 557–568.
- [23] C. Chang and M. Knights, "Interleaving technique in distributed power conversion systems," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 245–251, May 1995.
- [24] D. J. Perreault and J. G. Kassakian, "Distributed interleaving of paralleled power converters," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 728–734, Aug. 1997.
- [25] —, "Design and evaluation of a cellular rectifier system with distributed control," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 495–503, Jun. 1999.
- [26] —, "Automotive power generation and control," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 618–630, May 2004.



Gimba Hassan received the B.Eng. degree in electrical engineering from Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, the M.Sc. degree in renewable energy from Carl von Ossietzky Universität, Oldenburg, Germany, in 1991, and the M.S. degree in electrical engineering and computer science and the M.S. degree in technology and policy from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, in 2003.

He worked for several years with the Borno State Government before going to Germany. Under the Nigerian Technical Aid Corp Program he was an Electrical Engineer with the Kabwe Municipal Council, Zambia, and later lectured from 1997 to 2000 at the University of Zambia, Lusaka. His research interests include inverters, electric power systems, and policy.



David J. Perreault (M'98) received the B.S. degree from Boston University, Boston, MA, in 1989, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, in 1991 and 1997, respectively.

In 1997, he joined the MIT Laboratory for Electromagnetic and Electronic Systems as a Postdoctoral Associate, and became a Research Scientist in the laboratory in 1999. In July 2001, he joined the MIT Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, where he is presently the Emanuel

E. Landsman Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. His research interests include design, manufacturing, and control techniques for power electronic systems and components, and their use in a wide range of applications.

Dr. Perreault received the Richard M. Bass Outstanding Young Power Electronics Engineer Award from the IEEE Power Electronics Society and an Office of Naval Research Young Investigator Award. He is a member of Tau Beta Pi and Sigma Xi.



Thomas A. Keim (M'90) received the Ph.D. degree from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge.

Following graduation, he remained at MIT for several years as a member of the sponsored research staff, working on superconducting electric machines. He then worked for over a decade with General Electric Corporate Research and Development, where he contributed to and led work on superconducting electric machines and on superconducting electromagnets for medical nuclear magnetic resonance

imaging. In his next position, he served for nearly a decade at Kaman Electromagnetics as a Corporate Officer and Chief Engineer. In this position, he led engineering of multiple high-performance electromechanical and power electronics systems, for applications ranging from military propulsion systems and launchers to traction systems for public transit to oil-drilling machinery. Since 1998, he has been with MIT, where he is a Principal Research Engineer and serves as the Director of the MIT/Industry Consortium on Advanced Automotive Electrical/Electronic Components and Systems. In this capacity, he conducts and directs research into the future of automotive electric power systems. He has authored over 34 publications and is the holder of nine patents.