



US009490752B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Briffa et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,490,752 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Nov. 8, 2016**

(54) **RF AMPLIFIER HAVING A TRANSITION SHAPING FILTER**

(71) Applicant: **Eta Devices, Inc.**, Cambridge, MA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Mark A. Briffa**, Tyreso (SE); **Joel L. Dawson**, Roslindale, MA (US); **John E. DeRoo**, Atlanta, GA (US); **Krenar Komoni**, Worcester, MA (US); **David J. Perreault**, Andover, MA (US); **Oguzhan Uyar**, Cambridge, MA (US)

(73) Assignee: **ETA DEVICES, INC.**, Cambridge, MA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/934,760**

(22) Filed: **Nov. 6, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0065138 A1 Mar. 3, 2016

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/666,965, filed on Mar. 24, 2015, now Pat. No. 9,209,758, which is a continuation of application No. 14/338,671, filed on Jul. 23, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,020,453, which is a

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H04B 1/04** (2006.01)  
**H03F 1/02** (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H03F 1/0211** (2013.01); **H03F 1/0216** (2013.01); **H03F 1/0227** (2013.01);

(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... H04B 1/04; H03F 1/04; H03F 1/02; H03F 3/68; H03F 1/025; H03F 3/60; H03F 3/20; H03F 3/21; H03F 1/0294; H03F 3/602; H03F 3/211; H03F 2203/21163  
USPC ..... 455/127.3, 91, 102, 103, 114.1, 115.1, 455/115.2, 118, 126, 127.1, 127.2, 127.4; 375/296, 297; 330/124 R, 127, 129, 330/149

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,129,839 A 12/1978 Galani et al.  
4,835,493 A 5/1989 Walsh, Jr.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1 381 154 A1 1/2004  
EP 170 366 A2 2/2007

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

European Extended Search Report dated Mar. 10, 2016 corresponding to European Application No. 13851200.9; 8 Pages.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Pablo Tran

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Daly, Crowley, Mofford and Durkee, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A radio frequency (RF) power amplifier system or transmitter includes one or more power amplifiers and a controller that is configured to adjust amplitudes and phases of RF input signals of the one or more power amplifiers and supply voltages applied to the one or more power amplifiers. In embodiments where multiple power amplifiers are used, a combiner may be provided to combine outputs of the power amplifiers.

**18 Claims, 21 Drawing Sheets**



continuation of application No. 13/663,878, filed on Oct. 30, 2012, now Pat. No. 9,166,536, and a continuation of application No. 13/663,887, filed on Oct. 30, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,824,978.

- |                  |           |
|------------------|-----------|
| <i>H03F 3/19</i> | (2006.01) |
| <i>H03G 3/30</i> | (2006.01) |
| <i>H03F 3/21</i> | (2006.01) |
| <i>H03F 3/24</i> | (2006.01) |

- CPC ..... ***H03F 3/19*** (2013.01); ***H03F 3/21***  
(2013.01); ***H03F 3/211*** (2013.01); ***H03F***  
***3/245*** (2013.01); ***H03G 3/042*** (2013.01);  
***H04B 1/0483*** (2013.01); ***H03F 2200/451***  
(2013.01); ***H03F 2200/504*** (2013.01); ***H03F***  
***2200/511*** (2013.01); ***H03F 2203/2106***  
(2013.01); ***H04B 2001/045*** (2013.01)

- ## References Cited

5,012,200	A	4/1991	Meinzer	
5,561,395	A	10/1996	Melton et al.	
5,847,602	A	12/1998	Su	
5,892,395	A	4/1999	Stengel et al.	
6,043,707	A	3/2000	Budnik	
6,081,161	A	6/2000	Dacus et al.	
6,133,788	A	10/2000	Dent	
6,140,807	A	10/2000	Vannatta et al.	
6,255,906	B1	7/2001	Eidson et al.	
6,327,462	B1	12/2001	Loke et al.	
6,377,117	B2	4/2002	Oskowsky et al.	
6,396,341	B1	5/2002	Pehlke	
6,411,655	B1	6/2002	Holden et al.	
6,449,465	B1	9/2002	Gailus et al.	
6,535,066	B1	3/2003	Petsko	
6,566,944	B1	5/2003	Pehlke et al.	
6,738,432	B2	5/2004	Pehlke et al.	
6,784,748	B1	8/2004	Canyon et al.	
6,788,151	B2	9/2004	Shvarts et al.	
6,791,417	B2	9/2004	Pengelly et al.	
6,799,020	B1	9/2004	Heidmann et al.	
6,975,166	B2	12/2005	Grillo et al.	
7,068,984	B2	6/2006	Mathe et al.	
7,091,772	B2	8/2006	Friedel et al.	
7,103,114	B1	9/2006	Lapierre	
7,236,542	B2	6/2007	Matero	
7,236,753	B2	6/2007	Zipper	
7,279,971	B2	10/2007	Hellberg et al.	
7,317,412	B2 *	1/2008	Li .....	H03M 1/1061 341/144
7,330,070	B2	2/2008	Vaisanen	
7,343,138	B2	3/2008	Bengtson et al.	
7,362,251	B2	4/2008	Jensen et al.	
7,411,449	B2	8/2008	Klingberg et al.	
7,420,415	B2 *	9/2008	Lee .....	H03G 3/004 330/136
7,423,477	B2	9/2008	Sorrells et al.	
7,440,733	B2	10/2008	Maslennikov et al.	
7,469,017	B2 *	12/2008	Granstrom .....	H04B 1/0483 370/319
7,474,149	B2	1/2009	Snelgrove et al.	
7,482,869	B2	1/2009	Wilson	
7,505,747	B2	3/2009	Solum	
7,512,387	B2	3/2009	Glueck	
7,535,133	B2	5/2009	Perreault et al.	
7,555,059	B2	6/2009	Rybicki et al.	
7,583,149	B2	9/2009	Funaki et al.	
7,589,605	B2	9/2009	Perreault et al.	
7,705,681	B2	4/2010	Ilkov	
7,715,811	B2	5/2010	Kenington	

7,724,839	B2 *	5/2010	Chen .....	H03F 1/0205 375/296
7,817,962	B2 *	10/2010	Zolfaghari .....	H03C 5/00 455/127.3
7,881,401	B2 *	2/2011	Kraut .....	H03C 5/00 375/135
7,889,519	B2	2/2011	Perreault et al.	
7,956,572	B2	6/2011	Zane et al.	
7,962,111	B2	6/2011	Solum	
8,009,765	B2	8/2011	Ahmed et al.	
8,026,763	B2	9/2011	Dawson et al.	
8,072,264	B2	12/2011	Gustavsson	
8,155,237	B2	4/2012	Ahmed	
8,164,384	B2	4/2012	Dawson et al.	
8,174,322	B2	5/2012	Heijden et al.	
8,212,541	B2	7/2012	Perreault et al.	
8,315,578	B2	11/2012	Zhu et al.	
8,340,606	B2	12/2012	Park et al.	
8,416,018	B2	4/2013	Yamauchi et al.	
8,447,245	B2	5/2013	Staudinger et al.	
8,451,053	B2	5/2013	Perreault et al.	
8,472,896	B2	6/2013	Xu et al.	
8,493,142	B2	7/2013	Tadano	
8,536,940	B2	9/2013	Bohn et al.	
8,542,061	B2 *	9/2013	Levesque .....	H03F 1/0227 327/536
8,548,400	B2 *	10/2013	Traylor .....	H03G 3/3047 375/308
8,610,499	B2	12/2013	Royer	
8,611,459	B2	12/2013	McCallister	
8,659,353	B2	2/2014	Dawson et al.	
8,718,188	B2	5/2014	Baltesanu et al.	
8,773,201	B2	7/2014	Yamamoto et al.	
8,824,978	B2	9/2014	Briffa et al.	
8,829,993	B2	9/2014	Briffa et al.	
8,952,752	B1 *	2/2015	Huettner .....	H03F 1/3241 330/124 R
8,995,502	B1	3/2015	Lai et al.	
9,020,453	B2	4/2015	Briffa et al.	
9,083,294	B2	7/2015	Kermalli	
9,141,832	B2 *	9/2015	Perreault .....	H03F 1/0294
03/0001668	A1	1/2003	Mruz et al.	
03/0146791	A1	8/2003	Shvarts et al.	
04/0100323	A1	5/2004	Khanifar et al.	
04/0251964	A1	12/2004	Weldon	
05/0030104	A1	2/2005	Chen et al.	
05/0062529	A1	3/2005	Gan et al.	
05/0110590	A1	5/2005	Korol	
05/0191975	A1	9/2005	Talwalkar et al.	
05/0191976	A1	9/2005	Shakeshaft et al.	
05/0248401	A1	11/2005	Hellberg et al.	
05/0286278	A1	12/2005	Perreault et al.	
07/0066224	A1	3/2007	d'Hont et al.	
07/0066250	A1	3/2007	Takahashi et al.	
07/0069818	A1	3/2007	Bhatti et al.	
07/0123184	A1	5/2007	Nesimoglu et al.	
07/0146090	A1	6/2007	Carey et al.	
07/0159257	A1	7/2007	Lee et al.	
07/0247222	A1	10/2007	Sorrells et al.	
07/0247253	A1	10/2007	Carey et al.	
07/0281635	A1	12/2007	McCallister et al.	
07/0290747	A1	12/2007	Traylor et al.	
07/0291718	A1	12/2007	Chan et al.	
08/0001660	A1	1/2008	Rasmussen	
08/0003960	A1	1/2008	Zolfaghari	
08/0003962	A1	1/2008	Ngai	
08/0007333	A1	1/2008	Lee et al.	
08/0012637	A1	1/2008	Aridas et al.	
08/0019459	A1	1/2008	Chen et al.	
08/0085684	A1	4/2008	Phillips et al.	
08/0146171	A1	6/2008	Hellberg et al.	
08/0297246	A1	12/2008	Taylor	
10/0073084	A1	3/2010	Hur et al.	
10/0120384	A1	5/2010	Pennec	
10/0201441	A1	8/2010	Gustavsson	
11/0135035	A1	6/2011	Bose et al.	
11/0156815	A1	6/2011	Kim et al.	
11/0309679	A1	12/2011	Fisher et al.	
12/0176195	A1	7/2012	Dawson et al.	

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2012/0235736	A1	9/2012	Levesque et al.
2012/0252382	A1	10/2012	Bashir et al.
2012/0256686	A1	10/2012	Royer
2012/0313602	A1	12/2012	Perreault et al.
2012/0326684	A1	12/2012	Perreault et al.
2013/0241625	A1	9/2013	Perreault et al.
2013/0251066	A1	9/2013	Kim et al.
2013/0307618	A1	11/2013	Anvari
2013/0343106	A1	12/2013	Perreault et al.
2013/0343107	A1	12/2013	Perreault
2014/0118063	A1	5/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0118065	A1	5/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0118072	A1	5/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0120854	A1	5/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0125412	A1	5/2014	Dawson et al.
2014/0132354	A1	5/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0167513	A1	6/2014	Chang et al.
2014/0225581	A1	8/2014	Giuliano et al.
2014/0226378	A1	8/2014	Perreault
2014/0313781	A1	10/2014	Perreault et al.
2014/0335805	A1	11/2014	Briffa et al.
2014/0339918	A1	11/2014	Perreault et al.
2014/0355322	A1	12/2014	Perreault et al.
2015/0023063	A1	1/2015	Perreault et al.
2015/0084701	A1	3/2015	Perreault
2015/0155895	A1	6/2015	Perreault et al.
2015/0171768	A1	6/2015	Perreault
2015/0188448	A1	7/2015	Perreault et al.
2015/0194940	A1	7/2015	Briffa et al.
2015/0280553	A1	10/2015	Giuliano et al.

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	170 366	A3	2/2007
EP	1 609 239	B1	7/2010
WO	WO 2005/106613	A1	11/2005
WO	WO 2006/119362	A1	11/2006
WO	WO 2007/082090	A2	7/2007
WO	WO 2007/094921	A1	8/2007
WO	WO 2007/136919	A2	11/2007
WO	WO 2007/136919	A3	11/2007
WO	WO 2009/153218	A1	12/2009
WO	WO 2010/056646	A1	5/2010
WO	WO 2011/097387	A1	8/2011
WO	WO 2013/109719	A1	7/2013
WO	WO 2013/109743	A2	7/2013
WO	WO 2013/109797	A1	7/2013
WO	WO 2013/134573	A1	9/2013
WO	WO 2013/191757	A1	12/2013
WO	WO 2014/004241	A2	1/2014
WO	WO 2014/028441	A2	2/2014
WO	WO 2014/070474	A1	5/2014
WO	WO 2014/070475	A1	5/2014
WO	WO 2014/070998	A1	5/2014
WO	WO 2014/085097	A1	6/2014

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 14/968,045, filed Dec. 14, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/974,563, filed Dec. 18, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/975,742, filed Dec. 19, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/758,033, filed Jun. 26, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/791,685, filed Jul. 6, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/837,616, filed Aug. 27, 2015, Briffa et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/823,220, filed Aug. 11, 2015, Barton et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/920,031, filed Oct. 22, 2015, Briffa et al.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/435,914, filed Apr. 15, 2015, Perreault et al.  
 Sungwon Chung, et al., "Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing Architecture for Multi-Standard Transmitters", 2009 IEEE Radio Frequency Integrated Circuits Symposium, pp. 237-240.  
 D. Diaz, et al., "Comparison of Two Different Cell Topologies for a Multilevel Power Supply to Achieve High Efficiency Envelope Amplifier", IEEE, 2009, pp. 25-30.

M. Rodriguez, et al., "Multilevel Converter for Envelope Tracking in RF Power Amplifiers", IEEE, 2009, pp. 503-510.  
 Yuan-Jyue Chen, et al., "Multilevel LINC System Design for Wireless Transmitters", IEEE, 2007, pp. 1-4.  
 Jinsung Choi, et al., "A  $\Delta\Sigma$ —Digitized Polar RF Transmitter", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 55, No. 12, Dec. 2007, pp. 2679-2690.  
 Kai-Yuan Jheng, et al., "Multilevel LINC System Design for Power Efficiency Enhancement", IEEE, 2007, pp. 31-34.  
 Kevin Tom, et al., "Load-Pull Analysis of Outphasing Class-E Power Amplifier", The 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Wireless Broadband and Ultra Wideband Communications (AusWireless 2007), IEEE, 2007, pp. 1-4.  
 Surya Musunuri, et al., "Improvement of Light-Load Efficiency Using Width-Switching Scheme for CMOS Transistors", IEEE Power Electronics Letters, vol. 3, No. 3, Sep. 2006, pp. 105-110.  
 Frederick H. Raab, et al., "RF and Microwave Power Amplifier and Transmitter Technologies—Part 3", Sep. 2003, High Frequency Electronics, Summit Technical Media, LLC, pp. 34-48.  
 Petri Eloranta, et al., "A Multimode Transmitter in 0.13  $\mu$  m CMOS Using Direct-Digital RF Modulator", IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 42, No. 12, Dec. 2007, pp. 2774-2784.  
 Invitation to Pay Additional Fees in PCT/US2009/063821 dated Feb. 9, 2010.  
 PCT Search Report of the ISA dated Apr. 13, 2010.  
 PCT Written Opinion of the ISA dated Apr. 13, 2010.  
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability of the ISA for PCT/US2009/063821 dated May 26, 2011.  
 Amendment to Office Action mailed Apr. 7, 2011 (U.S. Appl. No. 12/380,048, filed Mar. 25, 2010).  
 Response to Rule 161 communication dated Jun. 28, 2011; Jan. 9, 2012.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 12/615,696, filed Nov. 10, 2009; 427 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/106,195, filed May 12, 2011; Part 1 of 2, 291 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/106,195, filed May 12, 2011; Part 2 of 2, 238 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/423,909, filed Mar. 19, 2012; Part 1 of 3, 300 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/423,909, filed Mar. 19, 2012; Part 2 of 3, 360 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/423,909, filed Mar. 19, 2012; Part 3 of 3, 397 pages.  
 Beltran, et al., "HF Outphasing Transmitter Using Class-E Power Amplifiers," Microwave Symposium Digest, IEEE; Jun. 2009; pp. 757-760.  
 Bifrane, et al., "On the Linearity and Efficiency of Outphasing Microwave Amplifiers," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 52; No. 7; Jul. 2004; pp. 1702-1708.  
 Chen, et al., "A High Efficiency Outphasing Transmitter Structure for Wireless Communications," Digital Signal Processing Workshop, IEEE; Jan. 2009, pp. 348-352.  
 Chireix, "High Power Outphasing Modulation," Proceedings of the Institute of Radio Engineers; vol. 23; No. 11; Nov. 1935; pp. 1370-1392.  
 Cox, "Linear Amplification with Nonlinear Components," IEEE Transactions on Communications; Dec. 1974; pp. 1942-1945.  
 El-Hamamsy, "Design of High-Efficiency RF Class-D Power Amplifier," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 9; No. 3; May 1994; pp. 297-308.  
 Eun, et al., "A High Linearity Chireix Outphasing Power Amplifier Using Composite Right/Left-Handed Transmission Lines," Proceedings of the 37<sup>th</sup> European Microwave Conference; Oct. 2007; pp. 1622-1625.  
 Everitt, et al., "Communications Engineering," 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Chapter 11, pp. 403-450, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.  
 Gerhard, et al., "Improved Design of Outphasing Power Amplifier Combiners," 2009 German Microwave Conference; Mar. 2009; pp. 1-4.  
 Godoy, et al., "Outphasing Energy Recovery Amplifier With Resistance Compression for Improved Efficiency," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 57; No. 12; Dec. 2009; pp. 2895-2906.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Hakala, et al., "A 2.14-GHz Chireix Outphasing Transmitter," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 53; No. 6; Jun. 2005; pp. 2129-2138.
- Hakala, et al.; Chireix Power Combining with Saturated Class-B Power Amplifiers; 121<sup>th</sup> GAAS Symposium; Oct. 2004, pp. 379-382.
- Hamill; "Impedance Plan Analysis of Class DE Amplifier;" Electronics Letters; vol. 30; No. 23; Nov. 10, 1994; pp. 1905-1906.
- Hamill; "Time Reversal Duality Between Linear Networks;" IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-I: Fundamental Theory and Applications; vol. 43; No. 1; Jan. 1996; pp. 63-65.
- Hamill; "Time Reversal Duality in Dc-Dc Converters;" Power Electronics Specialists Conference, IEEE; vol. 1; Jun. 1997; 7 pages.
- Han, et al.; "Analysis and Design of High Efficiency Matching Networks;" IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 21; No. 5, Sep. 2006; pp. 1484-1491.
- Han, et al.; "Resistance Compression Networks for Radio-Frequency Power Conversion;" IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 22; No. 1; Jan. 2007; pp. 41-53.
- Honjo; "A Simple Circuit Synthesis Method for Microwave Class-F Ultra-High-Efficiency Amplifiers with Reactance-Compensation Circuits;" Solid-State Electronics 44; Feb. 2000; pp. 1477-1482.
- Hur, et al.; "A Multi-Level and Multi-Band Class-D CMOS Power Amplifier for the LINC System in the Cognitive Radio Application;" IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters; vol. 20; Issue 6; Jun. 2010; pp. 1-3.
- Hur, et al.; "Highly Efficient and Linear Level Shifting Digital LINC Transmitter with a Phase Offset Cancellation;" Radio & Wireless Symposium; Jan. 2009; pp. 211-214.
- Hur, et al.; "Highly Efficient Uneven Multi-Level LINC Transmitter;" Electronics Letters; Jul. 30, 2009; vol. 45; No. 16; 2 pages.
- Kee, et al.; "The Class-E/F Family of ZVS Switching Amplifiers;" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 51; No. 6; Jun. 2003; pp. 1677-1690.
- Kruass, et al.; *Solid State Radio Engineering*; Chapter 14, New York: Wiley, 1980.
- Langridge, et al.; "A Power Re-Use Technique for Improved Efficiency of Outphasing Microwave Power Amplifiers;" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 47; No. 8; Aug. 1999; pp. 1467-1470.
- Lee; *Planar Microwave Engineering*; Chapter 20, pp. 630-687, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- Lepine, et al.; "L-Band LDMOS Power Amplifiers Based on an Inverse Class-F Architecture;" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 53; No. 6; Jun. 2005; pp. 2007-2012.
- Ni, et al.; "A New Impedance Match Method in Serial Chireix Combiner;" 2008 Asia-Pacific Microwave Conference; Dec. 2008; pp. 1-4.
- Perreault; A New Power Combining and Outphasing Modulation System for High-Efficiency Power Amplification; Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), 53<sup>rd</sup> IEEE, International Midwest Symposium; Aug. 2010; pp. 1-14.
- Phinney, et al.; "Radio-Frequency Inverters with Transmission-Line Input Networks;" IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 22; No. 4; Jul. 2007; pp. 1154-1161.
- Qureshi, et al.; "A 90-W Peak Power GaN Outphasing Amplifier with Optimum Input Signal Conditioning;" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 57; No. 8; Aug. 2009; pp. 1925-1935.
- Raab; "Class-F Power Amplifiers with Maximally Flat Waveforms;" IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; vol. 45; No. 11; Nov. 1997; pp. 2007-2012.
- Raab; "Efficiency of Outphasing RF Power-Amplifier Systems;" IEEE Transactions on Communications; vol. Com-33; No. 10; Oct. 1985; pp. 1094-1099.
- Raab, et al.; "RF and Microwave Power Amplifier and Transmitter Technologies—Part 3;" High Frequency Electronics; Sep. 2003; pp. 34-48.
- Rivas, et al.; "A High-Frequency Resonant Inverter Topology with Low-Voltage Stress;" IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 23; No. 4; Jul. 2008; pp. 1759-1771.
- Sokal, et al.; "Class E-A New Class of High-Efficiency Tuned Single-Ended Switching Power Amplifiers;" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; vol. SC-10; No. 3; Jun. 1975; pp. 168-176.
- Sokal; "Class-E RF Power Amplifiers;" QEX; Jan./Feb. 2001; pp. 9-20.
- Yao, et al.; "Power Amplifier Selection for LINC Applications;" IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-II: Express Briefs; vol. 53; No. 8; Aug. 2006; pp. 763-767.
- Zhang, et al.; "Analysis of Power Recycling Techniques for RF and Microwave Outphasing Power Amplifiers;" IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing; vol. 49; No. 5; May 2002; pp. 312-320.
- Zhou, et al.; "A Distributed Active Transformer Coupled Outphasing Power Combiner;" IEEE Microwave Conference; Dec. 2009; pp. 2565-2568.
- Zhukov, et al.; "Push-pull switching oscillator without commutating losses;" *Poluprovodnikovye Pribory v. Tekhnike Elektrosvyazi*, No. 15, Jan. 1975, 8 pages.
- Xu, et al.; "A 28.1dBm class-D outphasing power amplifier in 45nm LP digital CMOS;" Symposium on VLSI Circuits Digest of Technical Papers; Jun. 16, 2009; pp. 206-207.
- Zhou, et al.; "A Distributed Active Transformer Coupled Outphasing Power Combiner;" Microwave Conference, IEEE; Dec. 7, 2009; pp. 2565-2568.
- PCT Search Report of the ISA for PCT/US2011/023613 May 30, 2011.
- PCT Written Opinion of the ISA for PCT/US2011/023613 dated May 30, 2011.
- International Preliminary Report on Patentability of the ISA for PCT/US2011/023613 dated Aug. 16, 2012.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/020,568, filed Feb. 3, 2011; part 1 of 2, 335 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/020,568, filed Feb. 3, 2011; part 2 of 3, 100 pages.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/020,568, filed Feb. 3, 2011; part 3 of 3, 244 pages.
- Cripps; "RF Power Amplifier for Wireless Communications, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.;" Chapter 14, Artech House, Boston, MA; Jan. 2006.
- Godoy, et al.; "A 2.4-GHz, 27-dBm Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing Power Amplifier in 65-nm CMOS;" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; vol. 47; No. 10; Oct. 2012; pp. 2372-2384.
- Raab; "Average Efficiency of Class-G Power Amplifiers;" IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics; vol. CE-32; No. 2; May 1986; pp. 145-150.
- Shirvani, et al.; "A CMOS RF Power Amplifier With Parallel Amplification for Efficient Power Control;" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; vol. 37; No. 6; Jun. 2002; pp. 684-693.
- Vasic, et al.; "Multilevel Power Supply for High Efficiency RF Amplifiers;" 2009 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference; pp. 1233-1238; Feb. 2009.
- Walling, et al.; "A Class-G Supply Modulator and Class-E PA in 130 nm CMOS;" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits; vol. 44; No. 9; Sep. 2009; pp. 2339-2347.
- Yan, et al.; "A High Efficiency 780 MHz GaN Envelope Tracking Power Amplifier;" 2012 Compound Semiconductor Integrated Circuits Symposium; Oct. 2012; pp. 1-4.
- Yousefzadeh, et al.; "Three-Level Buck Converter for Envelope Tracking Applications;" IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics; vol. 21; No. 2; Mar. 2006; pp. 549-552.
- Heijden, et al.; "A 19W High-Efficiency Wide-Band CMOS-GeN Class-E Chireix RF Outphasing Power Amplifier" Microwave Symposium Digest (MMT), 2011 IEEE MTT-S International; Jun. 5-10, 2011; 4 pages.
- PCT Search Report of the ISA for PCT/US2013/065552 dated Mar. 20, 2014.
- Written Opinion of the ISA for PCT/US2013/065552 dated Mar. 20, 2014.
- PCT Search Report of the ISA for PCT/US2013/065553 dated Mar. 20, 2014.
- Written Opinion of the ISA for PCT/US2013/065553 dated Mar. 20, 2014.
- Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,887, filed Oct. 30, 2012.

(56)

**References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PCT Search Report of the ISA for PCT/US2013/70027 dated Apr. 22, 2014.  
 PCT Written Opinion of the ISA for PCT/US2013/70027 dated Apr. 22, 2014.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878; 200 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,876; 200 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878; 134 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,887; 259 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/338,671; 269 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,965; 175 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/666,965; 161 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/833,050; 150 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/833,050; 124 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; 150 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; 142 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952; 205 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952; 204 pages.  
 Office Action dated May 23, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012; 20 pages.  
 Response to Office Action dated May 23, 2014 as filed on Jul. 31, 2014 from U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012; 7 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Oct. 29, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/633,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012; 15 Pages.  
 Response filed Dec. 30, 2014 of Office Action dated Oct. 29, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012; 20 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Jan. 26, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952, filed Jul. 31, 2013; 30 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Feb. 23, 2015; for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572, filed Oct. 28, 2013; 29 Pages.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 14, 2014 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/833,050, filed Mar. 15, 2013; 23 Pages.  
 Response filed Mar. 10, 2015 of Office Action dated Feb. 23, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572, filed Oct. 28, 2013; 3 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Apr. 24, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; 10 Pages.  
 Office Action dated May 7, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012; 23 Pages.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated May 14, 2015 for Intl. Application No. PCT/US2013/06552; 13 pages.  
 PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated May 14, 2015 for Intl. Application No. PCT/US2013/06553; 13 pages.  
 Response to Office Action dated Feb. 23, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; Response filed Mar. 10, 2015; 3 Pages.  
 Response to Office Action dated Jan. 26, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952; Response filed on May 26, 2015; 11 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Jun. 2, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878; 18 Pages.  
 Office Action dated Jul. 8, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952; 13 Pages.  
 PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated Jun. 2, 2015 for Intl. Application No. PCT/US2013/070027; 12 Pages.  
 Response to Office Action dated Apr. 24, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; Response filed on Jul. 17, 2015; 9 Pages.  
 Response to Final Office Action dated Jun. 2, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878; Response filed on Jul. 21, 2015; 13 Pages.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 3, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/064,572; 13 Pages.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 29, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/955,952; 11 Pages.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 13, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 13/663,878; 13 Pages.  
 Office Action dated May 21, 2015 for U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,965; 15 Pages.  
 Response to Office Action dated May 21, 2015 corresponding U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,965; Response filed on Jul. 23, 2015; 12 Pages.  
 Supplemental Response to Office Action dated May 21, 2015 corresponding to U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,965; Supplemental Response filed on Aug. 10, 2015; 9 Pages.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 25, 2015 corresponding to U.S. Appl. No. 14/666,965; 16 Pages.  
 European Extended Search Report dated May 17, 2016; for European Pat. App. No. 13851838.6, 8 pages.  
 U.S. Appl. No. 15/149,491, filed May 9, 2016, Perreault, et al.  
 Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 24, 2016; for U.S. Appl. No. 14/920,031; 29 pages.

\* cited by examiner




FIG. 1







FIG. 2





**FIG. 4**

**FIG. 4A**




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D







FIG. 4E




**FIG. 4F**



**FIG. 4G**



**FIG. 4H**



**FIG. 4I**




FIG. 5








FIG. 6


**FIG. 7**





**FIG. 8**


**FIG. 9**


**FIG. 10**

**FIG. 11**


**FIG. 12**

**FIG. 13**







**FIG. 15**



**FIG. 16**



**FIG. 17**

**FIG. 18**

1

# RF AMPLIFIER HAVING A TRANSITION SHAPING FILTER

## CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/666,965, filed Mar. 24, 2015, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/338,671, filed Jul. 23, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,020,453, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/663,878, filed Oct. 30, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,166,536, and U.S. application Ser. No. 13/663,887, filed Oct. 30, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,824,978, all of which are hereby incorporated by reference herein in their entireties.

## FIELD

Subject matter disclosed herein relates generally to radio frequency (RF) circuits and, more particularly, to devices, systems, and techniques for implementing RF amplifiers and transmitters that are capable of achieving high linearity and high efficiency simultaneously.

## BACKGROUND

As is known in the art, a radio frequency (RF) transmitter is a device that produces RF signals. RF transmitters may be included, for example, as part of a radio communication system that uses electromagnetic waves (radio waves) to transport information over a distance.

As is also known, a trade-off must generally be made in RF communications transmitters between energy efficiency and linearity. Over the decades of development of the RF transmitter and corresponding RF amplifiers, it has generally been true that one could obtain either high efficiency or high linearity, but not both. It would, therefore, be desirable to provide systems and techniques that allow a user to amplify RF signals and/or transmit data carrying RF signals with both high efficiency and high linearity.

## SUMMARY

Systems and techniques are described herein that allow radio frequency (RF) signals to be amplified and/or transmitted with both high efficiency and high linearity. Digital control may be maintained over both the amplitudes and the phases of RF input signals applied to one or more power amplifiers. Digital control may also be maintained over the supply voltages applied to the one or more power amplifiers. In some embodiments, non-linear power amplifiers may be used to achieve high power efficiency within the RF transmitter. Digital control techniques may be used to achieve linearity and to further enhance efficiency. In at least one implementation, the amplitudes of one or more RF input signals of the one or more power amplifiers may be controlled to achieve backoff in the power amplifier to generate a desired output power level.

In accordance with one aspect of the concepts, systems, circuits, and techniques described herein, an RF power amplifier system comprises: A radio frequency (RF) power amplifier system, comprising: a digital-to-RF modulator to generate an RF input signal for the RF power amplifier based on input information indicative of amplitude values and phase values; a voltage control unit to provide a variable supply voltage to the RF power amplifier in response to one or more control signals, the variable supply voltage being

2

selected from a plurality of discrete voltage levels; and a controller to provide the input information to the digital-to-RF modulator and the one or more control signals to the voltage control unit based, at least in part, on data to be output by the RF power amplifier system; wherein the voltage control unit includes: an output terminal to provide an output voltage signal, the output terminal being coupled to a supply input of the RF power amplifier; first, second, and third voltage terminals to carry first, second, and third discrete voltage levels in the plurality of discrete voltage levels, respectively; a first switch coupled between the output terminal and the first voltage terminal; a second switch coupled between the output terminal and a first intermediate node; a third switch coupled between the first intermediate node and the second voltage terminal; and a network comprising at least one switch coupled between the first intermediate node and the third voltage terminal; wherein the controller is configured to control states of at least the second switch and the at least one switch.

In one embodiment, the network includes a fourth switch coupled between the first intermediate node and a second intermediate node and a fifth switch coupled between the second intermediate node and the third voltage terminal; and the voltage control unit further comprises: a fourth voltage terminal to carry a fourth discrete voltage level in the plurality of discrete voltage levels; and a sixth switch coupled between the second intermediate node and the fourth voltage terminal; wherein the controller is configured to control states of at least the second, fourth, and sixth switches.

In one embodiment, the voltage control unit further comprises a seventh switch coupled between the output terminal and a ground terminal, wherein the controller is configured to control states of at least the second, seventh and at least one switches.

In one embodiment, the first and third switches each include a diode.

In one embodiment, the plurality of discrete voltage levels consists of three voltage levels.

In one embodiment, the plurality of discrete voltage levels consists of four voltage levels.

In one embodiment, the controller is configured to make decisions about voltage level changes for the RF power amplifier based, at least in part, on a window of data samples representing data to be output by the RF power amplifier system.

In one embodiment, the voltage control unit further comprises a low pass transition shaping filter to filter the output voltage signal before it is provided to the RF power amplifier.

In one embodiment, one or more of the first switch, the second switch, the third switch, and the at least one switch are provided as complimentary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) technology.

In one embodiment, the voltage control unit further comprises a switched capacitor converter to synthesize multiple ratiometric voltages from a single input voltage, the switched capacitor converter providing at least some of the discrete voltage levels of the plurality of discrete voltage levels.

In one embodiment, the RF power amplifier system includes multiple RF power amplifiers and a combiner to combine the output signals of the multiple RF power amplifiers.

In one embodiment, the controller is configured to control states of the first switch, the second switch, the third switch, and the at least one switch.

In accordance with another aspect of the concepts, systems, circuits, and techniques described herein, a machine implemented method for operating an RF transmitter having a digital-to-RF modulator driving an RF power amplifier comprises: obtaining transmit data to be transmitted from the RF transmitter; providing input information for the digital-to-RF modulator based, at least in part, on the transmit data, the input information to control an amplitude and a phase of an RF output signal of the digital-to-RF modulator, selecting a supply voltage for the RF power amplifier based, at least in part, on the transmit data, the supply voltage being selected from a plurality of discrete voltage levels, wherein the supply voltage changes with time; and providing control signals to a plurality of switches within a voltage control unit based on the changing supply voltage selection, wherein the voltage control unit includes: an output terminal to provide an output voltage signal, the output terminal being coupled to a supply terminal of the RF power amplifier, first, second, and third voltage terminals to carry first, second, and third discrete voltage levels in the plurality of discrete voltage levels, respectively, a first switch coupled between the output terminal and the first voltage terminal; a second switch coupled between the output terminal and a first intermediate node; a third switch coupled between the first intermediate node and the second voltage terminal; and a network comprising at least one switch coupled between the first intermediate node and the third voltage terminal, wherein providing control signals includes providing control signals to at least the second switch and the at least one switch.

In one embodiment, the network includes a fourth switch coupled between the first intermediate node and a second intermediate node and a fifth switch coupled between the second intermediate node and the third voltage terminal; and the voltage control unit further comprises: a fourth voltage terminal to carry a fourth discrete voltage level in the plurality of discrete voltage levels; and a sixth switch coupled between the second intermediate node and the fourth voltage terminal; wherein providing control signals includes providing control signals to the second, fourth, and sixth switches.

In one embodiment, the voltage control unit further comprises a seventh switch coupled between the output terminal and a ground terminal, wherein providing control signals includes providing control signals to the first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh switches.

In one embodiment, the first and third switches each include a diode.

In one embodiment, the plurality of discrete voltage levels consists of three voltage levels.

In one embodiment, the plurality of discrete voltage levels consists of four voltage levels.

In one embodiment, selecting a supply voltage includes selecting the supply voltage based, at least in part, on a window of data samples associated with the transmit data.

In one embodiment, the method further comprises processing the supply voltage signal in a low pass filter before applying the signal to the RF power amplifier.

In one embodiment, the RF transmitter includes multiple digital-to-RF modulators driving multiple RF power amplifiers and a combiner to combine output signals of the multiple power amplifiers.

In one embodiment, providing control signals includes providing control signals to the first switch, the second switch, the third switch, and the at least one switch.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing features may be more fully understood from the following description of the drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary radio frequency (RF) transmitter in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter in accordance with another embodiment;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter having at least four power amplifiers in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 4 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter having a single power amplifier in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 4A is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter having a single power amplifier that uses a discrete voltage switching in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 4B is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary switched capacitor converter circuit that may be used within a voltage control unit in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 4C is a schematic diagram illustrating a voltage control arrangement for switching among different discrete supply levels for a power amplifier in accordance with an embodiment;

FIGS. 4D and 4E are schematic diagrams illustrating exemplary switching network architectures that may be used in power amplifier systems and RF transmitter systems in accordance with embodiments;

FIGS. 4F-4I are schematic diagrams illustrating exemplary level-transition filter architectures that may be used in various embodiments;

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter in accordance with another embodiment;

FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary voltage control unit in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 7 is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary voltage control unit in accordance with another embodiment;

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for operating an RF transmitter having at least two digital-to-RF modulators driving at least two power amplifiers in accordance with an embodiment; and

FIG. 9 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for operating an RF transmitter having a single digital-to-RF modulator driving a single power amplifier in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating an exemplary sample window that may be used to make a voltage level change decision in a power amplification system in accordance with an embodiment; and

FIG. 11 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for selecting voltage levels for one or more power amplifiers of a power amplification system based on a sample window in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 12 is a plot illustrating the efficiency and output power of a power amplification system having a single power amplifier as a function of normalized RF drive power at two different dc power supply levels;

FIG. 13 is a pair of IQ plots illustrating achievable RF output amplitudes for a power amplification system having a single power amplifier using two different supply levels;

FIG. 14 is a plot of efficiency versus normalized output power for a power amplification system having a single power amplifier using two different supply levels in accordance with an embodiment;

FIG. 15 is an IQ plot illustrating achievable output signal ranges for a power amplification system having two power amplifiers and a combiner, where each power amplifier is supplied from one of two supply levels;

FIG. 16 is an IQ plot illustrating operation of an exemplary Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing (AMO) based amplification system that includes two-power amplifiers and two power supply levels;

FIG. 17 is an IQ plot illustrating a control technique that may be used with a power amplification system having two power amplifiers and a combiner to operate the power amplifiers at or close to their achievable maximum amplitudes, while minimizing output at an isolation port of the combiner; and

FIG. 18 is a series of plots illustrating how power amplifier drive levels and supply levels may be adjusted to control output power over an output power range in accordance with an embodiment.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary radio frequency (RF) transmitter 10 in accordance with an embodiment. As will be described in greater detail, RF transmitter 10 is capable of simultaneously achieving both high efficiency and high linearity. As illustrated, RF transmitter 10 may include: a controller 12; first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16; first and second power amplifiers 18, 20; first and second voltage control units 22, 24; a power combiner 26; and an energy recovery module 30. RF transmitter 10 may be coupled to one or more antennas 32 and/or other transducers to facilitate the transmission of RF signals to one or more remote wireless entities. In some implementations, first and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may use substantially the same amplifier design. In other implementations, different amplifier designs and/or architectures may be used. In some embodiments, first and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may be non-linear amplifiers (and, in some cases, highly non-linear amplifiers). As is well known, non-linear amplifiers generally operate more efficiently than linear amplifiers.

First and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 are operative for generating RF input signals for first and second power amplifiers 18, 20, respectively, based on information received from controller 12. First and second voltage control units 22, 24 are operative for providing variable supply voltages to first and second power amplifiers 18, 20, respectively, based on control signals received from controller 12. In a typical implementation, controller 12 may receive or otherwise obtain a stream of data to be transmitted from RF transmitter 10 (i.e., transmit data). Controller 12 may then use this transmit data, among other things, to provide signals for first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 and first and second voltage control units 22, 24 that will result in the transmission of the transmit data from antenna(s) 32. Controller 12 may update the information delivered to first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 and the control signals delivered to first and second voltage control units 22, 24 on a sample-by-sample basis in some implementations.

In at least one implementation, controller 12 can provide independent control to each of first and second voltage control units 22, 24 so that different supply voltages can be simultaneously applied to first and second power amplifiers 18, 20. Likewise, in some implementations, controller 12 can provide different input information to first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 so that different RF input signals are simultaneously applied to first and second power amplifiers 18, 20. Power combiner 26 is operative for combining the output signals of first and second power amplifiers 18, 20 to generate an RF transmit signal at an output thereof. The RF transmit signal may then be delivered

to antenna(s) 32 for transmission into a wireless channel. As will be appreciated, the RF transmit signal should include an accurate representation of the original transmit data.

First and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may each include any type of power amplifier capable of amplifying an RF signal. In some implementations, first and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may be non-linear amplifiers to improve the efficiency of operation of the RF transmitter. In some embodiments, highly non-linear amplifiers may be used. First and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may use the same amplifier design or different amplifier designs. Likewise, first and second power amplifiers 18, 20 may use the same amplifier architecture or different amplifier architectures. First and second voltage control units 22, 24 may each include any type of circuit, component, or system that is capable of controllably varying a supply voltage level applied to a power amplifier in an RF transmitter. These units may include, for example, variable power supplies, discrete power supplies, batteries, multi-level power converters, and/or switching circuits that are capable of switching between preset voltage potentials.

First and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 may include any type of circuits or components that are capable of converting digital input information representative of a time varying amplitude and a time-varying phase into an analog RF output signal having corresponding amplitude and phase characteristics. Power combiner 26 may include any type of device or structure that is capable of combining multiple RF signals. This may include, for example, a hybrid combiner, a transformer combiner, a Wilkinson combiner, and/or others. Power combiner 26 may be an isolating combiner or a non-isolating combiner.

As described above, controller 12 may receive or otherwise acquire transmit data that needs to be transmitted into a wireless channel. The transmit data may be in any format (e.g., a binary bit stream; I and Q data; etc.). Controller 12 may then use this data, as well as other possible factors, to provide signals for first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 and first and second voltage control units 22, 24. In some implementations, the goal may be to generate an RF transmit signal that includes an accurate representation of the transmit data. Any of a number of different modulation and coding schemes (MCSs) may be used to represent the transmit data within the RF transmit signal. The MCS may include, for example, binary phase shift keying (BPSK), quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK), quadrature amplitude modulation (e.g., QAM, 16 QAM, 64 QAM, 128 QAM, etc.), orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM), and/or others. Some of these MCSs have relatively high peak to average power ratios. As is well known, MCSs having high peak to average power ratios typically require highly linear power amplification to provide an accurate representation of transmit data. In various embodiments described herein, transmission systems and techniques are described that are capable of providing efficient power amplification with sufficient linearity to support MCSs having high peak to average power ratios.

As shown in FIG. 1, after controller 12 acquires transmit data, it may use the data to provide input information to first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16. In one possible approach, controller 12 may provide separate I and Q data to each of the first and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16. That is, controller 12 may generate  $I_1$ ,  $Q_1$  for first digital to RF modulator 14 and  $I_2$ ,  $Q_2$  for second digital to RF modulator 16. First and second digital to RF modulators 14, 16 may then use the I, Q information to modulate an RF carrier wave to generate a corresponding RF signal at an

output thereof. As is well known, I and Q data is generally representative of an amplitude and a phase. Thus,  $I_1$  and  $Q_1$  may, for example, have a corresponding amplitude  $A_1$  and phase  $\theta_1$ . The RF signal output by first digital to RF modulator **14** in response to  $I_1$  and  $Q_1$  may therefore be an RF signal having amplitude  $A_1$  and phase  $\theta_1$ . In some implementations, the input information provided to first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** may be in a format other than I and Q. For example, in one possible approach, amplitude ( $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ) and phase ( $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ ) information may be delivered to first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** by controller **12**. As described above, the input information applied to first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** may change on a sample by sample basis in some embodiments.

In some implementations, first and second voltage control units **22**, **24** may each be capable of providing one of a plurality of predetermined voltages to corresponding power amplifiers **18**, **20** in response to control signals from controller **12**. Thus, a control signal  $V_{CONT1}$  may select a voltage value for power amplifier **18** and a control signal  $V_{CONT2}$  may select a voltage value for power amplifier **20**. As with the input information applied to first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16**, the supply voltage values applied to first and second power amplifiers **18**, **20** may change on a sample by sample basis in some embodiments.

In addition to the above, in some embodiments, controller **12** may use the amplitude information delivered to first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** (e.g., the amplitude value associated with  $I_1$  and  $Q_1$ , etc.) to control/adjust a power level output by the RF transmitter **10** (e.g., a transmit power level). For example, controller **12** may use a reduced amplitude value for one or both of the digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** when a lower transmit power level is desired.

In some embodiments, controller **12** may determine input information for first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** and control information for first and second voltage control units **22**, **24** in real time based on the transmit data. In some other embodiments, an optional lookup table (LUT) **36** may be used to provide the required information. Controller **12** may retrieve values from LUT **36** for the first and second digital to RF modulators **14**, **16** and the first and second voltage control units **22**, **24** that are designed to accurately represent the transmit data in the transmit signal.

In some embodiments, power combiner **26** may be an isolating combiner having an isolation port. As is well known, an isolating combiner will sometimes output energy at the isolation port due to, for example, mismatches, imbalances, and/or reflections in the circuitry coupled to the combiner. Typically, a resistive termination will be coupled to the isolation port of an isolating combiner to provide an impedance match for the port and to dissipate any energy output from the port. In some embodiments, an energy recovery module **30** may be coupled to the isolation port of an isolating combiner, rather than a conventional resistive termination, for use in recovering some or all of the energy that would otherwise have been dissipated. Energy recovery module **30** may include circuitry for converting the recovered energy into a useful form. For example, the recovered energy may be converted to a form that can be used to charge a battery. Alternatively, the recovered energy may be converted to a form that may be used to energize other circuitry within RF transmitter **10**.

In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 1, separate voltage control units **22**, **24** are provided for first and second power amplifiers **18**, **20**. In some implementations, the functions of multiple voltage control units may be implemented using a

single voltage control structure. FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary radio frequency (RF) transmitter **40** in accordance with an embodiment. As shown in FIG. 2, a single voltage control unit **34** may be used to provide variable voltages for both of power amplifiers **18**, **20**.

In some embodiments, power amplification systems are provided that incorporate dynamic selection from among a discrete set of voltage levels for providing drain bias voltages to a set of power amplifiers (PAs). Selection from among multiple discrete voltage levels in setting one or more drain bias voltages is common to a number of system architectures. This includes systems that select from among a discrete set of input voltages and then provide additional regulation to provide a continuously-varying drain voltage (see, e.g., "Multilevel Power Supply for High Efficiency RF Amplifiers," by Vasic et al., 2009 *IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference*, pp. 1233-1238, February 2009; and U.S. Pat. No. 7,482,869 to Wilson, entitled "High Efficiency Amplification.") and systems that directly exploit discrete drain levels, including "class G" amplifiers (see, e.g., "Average Efficiency of Class-G Power Amplifiers," by F. H. Raab, *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, Vol. CE-32, no. 2, pp. 145-150, May 1986; and "A Class-G Supply Modulator and Class-E PA in 130 nm CMOS," by Walling et al., *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 44, No. 9, pp. 2339-2347, September 2009), multi-level LINC (MLINC) Power Amplifiers (see, e.g., U.S. Patent Application Publication 2008/0019459 to Chen et al. entitled "Multilevel LINC Transmitter," and U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2010/0073084 to Hur et al. entitled "Systems and Methods for a Level-Shifting High-Efficiency LINC Amplifier using Dynamic Power Supply" and Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing (AMO) Power Amplifiers (see, e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,026,763 and 8,164,384 to Dawson et al. entitled "Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing Architecture for RF Amplifiers" and "A 2.4-GHz, 27-dBm Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing Power Amplifier in 65-nm CMOS" by Godoy et al., *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 2012). In the present application, dynamic selection from among a discrete set of voltage levels (or "level selection") is primarily discussed in the context of a single or multi-amplifier, multi-level power amplifier architecture (such as, for example, the power amplifier architecture used in RF transmitter **10** of FIG. 1). However, the level selection techniques discussed herein can also be used to improve performance in other architectures utilizing discrete voltage levels, including those enumerated above.

Level selection can be performed based upon the instantaneous output envelope value or, when processing digital data from which to synthesize the RF output, upon an individual digital sample to be synthesized. In one possible approach, the lowest discrete voltage level or levels may be used that are compatible with synthesizing the required instantaneous RF output in order to provide the highest instantaneous "drain" efficiency. In at least one embodiment, discrete voltage levels may be selected based upon a "window" of data to be transmitted, rather than a single sample or "instantaneous" value. In this manner, the number of level transitions that are used to synthesize a particular data stream can be reduced. In many cases, this technique may result in higher voltage levels being used than are absolutely necessary to synthesize a particular sample (thus reducing power amplifier "drain" efficiency). However, overall efficiency can be improved (or at least its degradation reduced) by mitigating the energy cost of transitioning among levels. Moreover, linearity (e.g., as measured by adjacent channel leakage ratio (ACLR) or adjacent channel power ratio

(ACPR)), error vector magnitude (EVM), and other waveform quality metrics can be improved by reducing the number of level transitions required. This is because the system disturbance caused by transitioning levels on one or more PAs will typically have a cost in terms of the output trajectory, even though this can be compensated for using digital pre-distortion or other techniques.

In some embodiments, decisions may be made about the discrete voltage levels to apply based on one or more extended time periods, rather than relying solely on instantaneous conditions. In one approach, for example, a decision as to whether or not to change selected level(s) looks across a window of samples  $N_w$  long (e.g., from a current sample under consideration into the future, from a previous sample in the past through the current sample and for one or more samples into the future, etc.). FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating such a sample window 220. These samples may be represented as I,Q data values, as amplitudes/phases of the desired outputs, as vector values to be synthesized, etc., or may simply represent the output power or voltage amplitudes desired. For the current sample 222, the system may only transition to a lower level or set of levels (i.e., providing a lower maximum power) if that lower level or set of levels can provide sufficient output power over the whole window 220 of  $N_w$  samples. If more power delivery is needed for the current sample 222 than can be provided by the level/set of levels used in the previous sample 224, then a transition is made to a level/set of levels capable of providing sufficient power. Otherwise, the level/set of levels remains unchanged from the previous sample 224 to the current sample 222.

Using the above-described technique reduces the number of transitions by ensuring that a “downward” level transition only occurs if an “upward” transition won’t be necessary again in the near future. At the same time, an “upward” transition may always be used if needed to support the required output power during a given sample. It will be appreciated that many variations are possible which provide further reduction in number of transitions. For example, in one alternative approach, a decision may be made to make either an “upward” or a “downward” transition as long as it is certain that another transition will not be needed for at least a minimum number of samples (i.e., within a window of samples). In another alternative approach, if an “upward” transition is needed to support an output for less than a certain number of samples, that transition could be eliminated, and the power amplifiers driven to provide an output as close as possible to the desired output (during either the sample in question or averaged in some manner over multiple samples). This may reduce transitions, at the expense of some waveform quality degradation. If any of the above-described techniques are used, the input drives for the individual power amplifiers may be adjusted accordingly for the selected drain voltage levels in order to provide the desired total output. In some embodiments, a controller may be programmed or configured to be capable of selecting a voltage value for a power amplifier that is lower than required to generate a desired instantaneous output power level if the need for a higher voltage level is short in duration compared to the time duration of the window of data samples. In other embodiments, a controller may be programmed or configured to be capable of selecting a voltage value for a power amplifier that is higher than a minimum voltage sufficient to generate a desired instantaneous output power level if the duration of time for which a lower voltage level is sufficient is short compared to the time duration of the window of data samples.

In some implementations, the above-described technique may be combined with other techniques including, for example, peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) reduction techniques, techniques using hysteresis in the thresholds used to make level selection determinations, techniques that filter the data before and/or after the level-selection process, and/or others. In some implementations, the window lengths that are used with the above-described techniques may be dynamically and/or adaptively selected based on a performance criterion. In one approach, for example, the window lengths may be selected to maximize a combination of efficiency and output quality metrics.

To provide a better understanding of the operation and benefits of the amplifier architectures described herein, the performance of a single power amplifier (PA) having two different dc supply voltages will now be described. This power amplifier could represent any number of PA classes (e.g., A, AB, B, F, inverse F, etc.) and could also represent a composite amplifier comprising an aggregation of output from multiple smaller PAs operated together (e.g., a Doherty amplifier, outphasing amplifier, etc.) FIG. 12 is a plot showing the efficiency and (normalized) output power of a power amplifier as a function of normalized RF drive power at two different dc power supply levels (i.e., a lower dc supply, level 1, and a higher dc supply, level 2). It can be seen that for the level 2 dc supply, at a certain RF input power (0.1 normalized) the output power reaches a maximum saturated output power (1 normalized). The output power can be reduced to any value below this saturated maximum (i.e., “backing off” the output power) by reducing the RF input power. For low levels of RF input and output power, there is a nearly linear (proportional) relation between RF input power and RF output power. However, efficiency in this region is relatively low (e.g., below 40% for RF output powers below 0.25 normalized). The highest efficiency is found in regions of output power at or somewhat below the level at which output power saturates (e.g., efficiency above 70% for output power above 0.83 normalized). Increasing RF input power beyond the level that saturates the power amplifier, however, actually reduces efficiency. This occurs because total input power—dc plus RF—increases but output power does not increase (and in some cases can decrease with further increase in RF input power).

The power and efficiency for the lower voltage dc supply (level 1) is now considered. In this case, the maximum saturated output power is much lower than for the higher-voltage (level 2) dc supply (e.g., reaching a maximum output power of only 0.25 normalized). The output power can again be adjusted between zero and this lower maximum value by adjusting RF input power (e.g., backing off the output power by reducing the normalized input power to values below that which saturates the power amplifier), but higher output powers (above 0.25 normalized) are not obtainable at this supply level. It should be noted that for values of output power that can be reached at this lower supply level, higher efficiency is achieved using the lower dc supply level 1 than for the higher dc supply level 2, because the power amplifier is operated closer to its saturated value. Thus, for low values of output power, it is generally desirable to use a lower supply voltage value, so long as the desired output power is achievable and the desired level of linearity and controllability of the power amplifier is achievable.

The variation in efficiency with a given dc voltage supply level is a motivation for power amplifier systems such as “Class G,” that switch the power amplifier dc supply among different levels depending on the desired RF output power

level. Selecting from multiple dc supply values such that the power amplifier operates at as high an efficiency as possible while being able to provide the desired RF output power can yield significant improvements in efficiency over that achieved with a single supply level.

FIG. 13 includes two IQ plots illustrating the achievable RF outputs in terms of the output RF amplitude (i.e., phasor length, or RF voltage amplitude) for two different supply levels. For a given supply level, there is an RF output amplitude (proportional to the square root of RF output power) that may be specified as a maximum for that supply level. This maximum amplitude may be that corresponding to the absolute maximum saturated output power (under complete compression) for that supply level, as illustrated in FIG. 12, or may be a level somewhat below this. One may limit the maximum amplitude and power to somewhat slightly lower than those for complete saturation to simplify predistortion of drive signals (for linearization), to account for part-to-part variations in absolute maximum power, to place the specified maximum level in a desirable location on the efficiency vs. output characteristic, or for other reasons. As illustrated in FIG. 13, with the higher supply level, any RF output voltage vector having an amplitude less than or equal to the radius of the circle labeled L2 can be synthesized. With the lower supply level, one can synthesize any RF output voltage vector having an amplitude less than or equal to the radius of the circle labeled L1.

To exploit the availability of multiple supply voltages to achieve increased efficiency, one may dynamically switch between the two supply levels. One way to do this is to switch supply levels based on the amplitude of the RF output vector being synthesized at any given time, such that the higher supply level is utilized whenever the desired output amplitude is between L1 and L2, and the lower supply level whenever the desired output amplitude is at or below L1. Using this approach, leads to the efficiency vs. normalized output power characteristic shown in FIG. 14.

In at least one embodiment, the signal to be synthesized is considered over a longer interval (e.g., a window including multiple future digital samples) and level switching is managed based on the moving window of data. For example, this may be done in a way that ensures that the desired instantaneous output amplitude can always be synthesized, but switch down to the lower supply level only if the desired output signal amplitude will remain at a level at or below L1 for a minimum duration. Amplitude or time hysteresis or other constraints can likewise be put into level switching decisions. For example, one may require that once a switching transition is made, no other transition will be made for a specified interval. Moreover, while this is illustrated for two power supply levels, the approach may be easily extended to an arbitrary number of supply levels. The length of the window used could be preselected, or could be dynamically selected based on one or more of a range of factors including output power levels (short or long term), channel characteristics, data bandwidth, signal statistics, transmit data, etc. Likewise, one could select the power supply levels based on the contents of a block of samples at a time, where the block length is fixed or dynamically selected.

An important consideration in the above discussion is that instantaneous efficiency is higher for operating points where the length of the synthesized vector is close to the maximum achievable amplitude radius. From this perspective, it is desirable to operate from the lowest supply for which the desired output can be synthesized. However, as there is an energy cost to switching between levels (e.g., owing to

capacitor charging loss and switching loss of transistors setting the supply), it may be beneficial to overall system efficiency to use a higher-than-necessary supply level to synthesize an output over a short duration, if the energy cost of switching back and forth between levels is greater than that saved by using a lower supply level over that duration. Moreover, there is also a cost to linearity associated with switching among levels, in terms of noise injected to the output and loss of linearity owing to temporary disturbance of the power amplifier each time a level transition is made. Even if there is an overall efficiency penalty, one may choose to operate from a higher supply level than necessary to synthesize a particular output over a short duration if it reduces the number of supply transitions necessary, in order to improve linearity. One may also choose not to briefly step up a power supply level for a short duration, even if the desired instantaneous power does not get synthesized (possibly briefly clipping the peak of the signal), owing to the loss and noise injection penalty of switching between levels. The impact of level transitions on both efficiency and linearity may thus be considered as factors in selecting what level to use in a particular interval.

Further advantage may be attained in some embodiments by combining power from multiple power amplifiers (see, e.g., system 10 of FIG. 1). In one multiple power amplifier embodiment, there may be, for example, two power amplifiers that can each be supplied from two different (nonzero) supply voltages and an isolating power combiner to combine the output signals of the amplifiers in an isolating fashion. The voltage vector at the output port of the power combiner is a weighted sum of the output voltage vectors of the two individual power amplifiers. Likewise, a 2-way isolating combiner may produce an isolation voltage vector at the combiner isolation port that is a weighted difference of the two individual power amplifiers. The total energy delivered by the power amplifiers to the combiner is ideally delivered to either the output port (e.g., to be transmitted) or to the isolation port (e.g., where it may be dissipated in an isolation resistor, recovered via an energy recovery system, or transmitted via a second transmission path). For example, if the output phasor vectors of the individual PAs are  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ , the output port of the power combiner may produce a sum vector:

$$\Sigma = V_{out} = V_1 + V_2$$

and the isolation port of the combiner may produce a difference vector:

$$\Delta = V_{iso} = V_1 - V_2$$

As is known in the art, for an isolating combiner, the input impedances at the combiner inputs and the impedances of the loads at the output and isolation ports scale such that energy is conserved, as described above.

Because energy delivered to the isolation port is usually lost, it is usually desirable to synthesize the desired output voltage vector while minimizing the amplitude of the voltage vector at the isolation port. One may thus select the power supply levels, amplitudes and phases of the two power amplifiers to deliver the desired output while putting small (and preferably the minimum) power into the isolation port. Alternatively, if the signal to be provided at the isolation port is to be transmitted rather than dissipated, it may be desirable to control the supply voltages and PA input amplitudes such that the desired signals are synthesized at both the output and isolation ports while providing maximum overall efficiency of delivering energy to the two ports.



13

FIG. 15 is an IQ plot illustrating achievable output signal ranges for a 2-amplifier system where each power amplifier is supplied from one of two supply levels. This is illustrated as regions on the IQ diagram in terms of the output RF amplitude (phasor length, or RF voltage amplitude). As illustrated, each power amplifier can generate an RF output with a maximum amplitude that depends on the power supply level selected for that amplifier. If both PAs are supplied using a higher voltage L2, an RF output vector anywhere inside the circle labeled L2/L2 can be synthesized, with this maximum achieved when the two individual PA vectors are in phase and at their specified maximum amplitudes for that supply level. If one PA is supplied at the higher voltage level and the other at the lower voltage level, an RF output vector anywhere inside the circle labeled L2/L1 can be synthesized, with this maximum achieved when the two individual PA vectors are in phase and each at their specified maximum amplitudes for their supply levels. At this maximum amplitude, nonzero output is delivered to the isolation port of the combiner, since there is a nonzero difference between the PA outputs. If both PAs are supplied at the lower voltage level, an RF output vector anywhere inside the circle labeled L1/L1 can be synthesized. Lastly, if only one PA, supplied at the lower voltage level drives the combiner (e.g., with the drive amplitude of the second PA set to zero and/or the power supply for the second PA set to zero), then a vector anywhere inside the circle labeled L1/- can be synthesized. Again, there is nonzero output delivered to the isolation port for this case if the combiner is isolating. An additional circle with only one PA supplied at the higher supply voltage level driving the combiner will also typically exist. However, operation within this circle will usually be less efficient than the other options. The above-described techniques may be extended for use within systems having more than two PAs, systems having more than two nonzero supply levels, systems using other types of power combiners, and/or a combination of the above.

FIG. 16 is an IQ plot illustrating operation of an Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing (AMO) based amplification system that includes two-power amplifiers and two power supply levels. Each of the power amplifiers may be operated at a specified maximum output amplitude (with respect to the power supply voltage used by each amplifier) such that the efficiency of each PA can be high. To modulate output power, the two PAs may be outphased (or phase shifted) such that the sum vector has the desired amplitude and phase. With an isolating combiner, there may be some dissipation associated with the difference vector sent to the isolation port. By changing the power supply levels depending upon the desired output amplitude, one can keep the amplitude of the difference vector small, maintaining high efficiency.

In at least one embodiment, multiple power amplifiers may be controlled such that they synthesize individual output vectors that are in phase, but with amplitudes that may be different (and selecting among different supply levels) depending upon desired output. That is, for a given supply level selection for the two PAs, there is an achievable output amplitude maximum, which is reached by operating the two PAs at their achievable maximum. One can reduce the output below this by backing off one or both PAs below their achievable maximum. While backing off the PAs reduces PA efficiency, keeping the PAs in phase keeps the amplitude at an isolation port small, which can provide enhanced efficiency. More generally, depending on the combiner type, keeping the two PA outputs having a specified fixed phase relationship provides low isolation port loss and

14

enhanced efficiency. In one approach, the lowest supply level set may be utilized that will enable the desired output to be achieved, and the drive of one or both PAs will then be backed off to reduce power for output amplitudes above that achievable with the next lower supply level set. This keeps the PAs operated at or close to their achievable maximum while minimizing the output at the isolation port. FIG. 17 is an IQ plot illustrating this approach. FIG. 18 is a series of plots illustrating how power amplifier drive levels and supply levels may be adjusted to control output power over an output power range in accordance with an embodiment. As shown, peaks in efficiency may be attained through judicious supply level selection as a function of power, and good efficiency may be maintained over a wide output power range.

In some embodiments, both backoff and outphasing techniques may be combined in a power amplification system to reduce output amplitude below an achievable maximum with a given set of supply voltages, as illustrated in FIG. 15. In this case, for each supply level set and output amplitude, a combination of backoff and outphasing can be selected to provide desirable tradeoffs between efficiency and linearity. Moreover, at very low power, one of the power amplifiers may be completely turned off and the other amplifier may be operated under backoff alone. It should also be appreciated that the combination of outphasing and backoff of the individual power amplifiers can be used to provide further control features. For example, instead of a difference vector being delivered to an isolation port to be dissipated, the "isolation port" can be connected to a second output for RF transmission (i.e., for a multi-output system). By controlling both backoff and outphasing of the two amplifiers, desired outputs can be provided at both the output port (first output) and the isolation port (second output) while preserving high efficiency.

In some embodiments, multiple different types of power amplifiers, or power amplifiers optimized for different characteristics, may be used within a power amplification system. The parameters of the system may then be controlled to best utilize the two types or characteristics of power amplifiers. For example, it may be desirable to optimize a first power amplifier for operation near its achievable maximum (e.g., a switched-mode or saturated power amplifier) and a second power amplifier for operation with good efficiency under a range of backoff (e.g., using a Doherty power amplifier or chireix outphasing power amplifier). In this case, one may control the system such that the first power amplifier usually operates at or near its achievable maximum, while the second regulates the output amplitude by backing off from its maximum. Different output power regions can further be covered by appropriately switching the supply levels of the power amplifier, with the second power amplifier operated at the higher of the supply levels if the supply levels are not the same. At the lowest output levels, the first power amplifier may be turned off, and the output controlled only with the second power amplifier.

In some embodiments, as described above, more than two power amplifiers may be used to generate a transmit signal in an RF transmitter. For example, FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating an RF transmitter 50 that includes at least four power amplifiers in accordance with an embodiment. As illustrated, RF transmitter 50 may include: a controller 52; first, second, third, and fourth digital to RF modulators 54, 56, 58, 60; first second, third, and fourth power amplifiers 62, 64, 66, 68; a power combiner 70; and a voltage control unit 72. As before, one or more antennas 32 may be coupled to an output of combiner 70. Voltage control unit 72 may

15

provide variable supply voltages  $V_1(t)$ ,  $V_2(t)$ ,  $V_3(t)$ ,  $V_n(t)$  to first, second, third, and fourth power amplifiers **62**, **64**, **66**, **68**, respectively, based on one or more control signals from controller **52**. First, second, third, and fourth digital to RF modulators **54**, **56**, **58**, **60** provide RF input signals to first, second, third, and fourth power amplifiers **62**, **64**, **66**, **68**, respectively based on input information received from controller **52**. Combiner **70** combines the output signals of first, second, third, and fourth power amplifiers **62**, **64**, **66**, **68** to generate an RF transmit signal for delivery to antenna(s) **32**.

Controller **52** may use any of the control techniques described above in various embodiments. In some implementations, controller **52** may use the voltage control of voltage control unit **72** and the phase and amplitude information delivered to first, second, third, and fourth digital to RF modulators **54**, **56**, **58**, **60** to ensure that transmit data is accurately represented within the RF output signal of combiner **70**. In addition, controller **52** may use amplitude information delivered to first, second, third, and fourth digital to RF modulators **54**, **56**, **58**, **60** to control/adjust an output power level of combiner **70** (e.g., a transmit power level, etc.). In some embodiments, this output power control capability may be used to provide power backoff for RF transmitter **50**. As in previous embodiments, an energy recovery module **30** may be provided to recover energy at an isolation port of combiner **70** when an isolating combiner architecture is used.

In at least one embodiment, an RF transmitter may be provided that includes a single RF power amplifier. FIG. **4** is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter **80** that includes a single power amplifier in accordance with an embodiment. As shown, RF transmitter **80** includes: a controller **82**, a digital to RF modulator **84**, a power amplifier **86**, and a voltage control unit **88**. The output of power amplifier **86** may be coupled to one or more antennas **32** to facilitate the transmission of RF transmit signals to remote wireless entities. Voltage control unit **88** may provide a variable supply voltage  $V(t)$  to power amplifier **86** based on a control signal from controller **82**. Voltage control unit **88** may be configured to selectively supply one of a plurality of discrete voltages to power amplifier **86**, and may supply the discrete voltage to the power amplifier via a transition shaping filter in some implementations. The transition shaping filter may, for example, comprise lossless filter elements, including inductors and capacitors, and may further include lossy elements, such as resistors and magnetic beads. The transition shaping filter serves to provide shaping and/or bandwidth limitation of the voltage transitions between discrete levels and may provide damping of oscillations that might otherwise occur. The transition shaping filter may be selected to provide a low-pass filter response. Discrete voltage supply levels provided by voltage control unit **88** may be predetermined or may be adapted over time based on required average transmit power levels or other factors.

Digital to RF modulator **84** may provide an RF input signal to power amplifier **86** based on input information (e.g.,  $I_1$ ,  $Q_1$ ) received from controller **82**. Controller **82** may use any of the control techniques described above in various embodiments. In some implementations, controller **82** may use the voltage control of voltage control unit **88** and the amplitude and phase information delivered to digital to RF modulator **84** to ensure that the transmit data is accurately represented within the RF output signal of RF transmitter **80**. Controller **82** may use the amplitude information delivered to digital to RF modulator **84** to control/adjust an output power level of RF transmitter **80** (e.g., a transmit power level). As before, in some implementations, this output

16

power control capability may be used to provide power backoff for RF transmitter **80**.

FIG. **4A** is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter **80A** that includes a single power amplifier in accordance with an embodiment. The RF transmitter **80A** is a specific embodiment of the transmitter **80** illustrated in FIG. **4**. As shown, RF transmitter **80A** includes: a controller **82A**, a digital to RF modulator **84A**, a power amplifier **86A**, and a voltage control unit **88A**. The output of power amplifier **86A** may be coupled to one or more antennas **32A**. Voltage control unit **88A** includes: a supply select unit **241**, a multilevel power converter **242**, a switch unit **244**, and a transition shaping filter **246**. Multilevel power converter **242** is operative for generating a number of different voltage potentials (i.e.,  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ ,  $V_3$ ,  $V_4$ ) on a plurality of corresponding voltage lines. Although illustrated with four different voltage levels, it should be appreciated that any number of different levels may be used in different embodiments. Switch unit **244** is capable of controllably coupling one of the plurality of voltage lines at a time to a power supply input of power amplifier **86A**. Supply select **241** is operative for switching switch unit **244** between different voltage lines in response to a switch control signal from controller **82A**. In at least one embodiment, multilevel power converter **242** may be capable of adapting the voltage value on one or more of the voltage lines slowly over time to, for example, accommodate slow variations in a desired average output power. Transition shaping filter **246** is operative for shaping the transitions between voltage levels within the power amplifier supply voltage signal. In at least one implementation, the transition shaping filter **246** may include a low pass filter.

As described above, in some implementations, a voltage control unit or voltage control system may include a multi-output (or "multi-level") power converter to generate multiple voltages from a single input voltage. In one approach, a multi-output power converter may be implemented using a switched-capacitor circuit. A switched capacitor converter can provide very high power density for synthesizing multiple ratiometric voltages from a single voltage. FIG. **4B** is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary switched capacitor converter circuit **300** in accordance with an implementation. The single input of this multiple-output converter may be fed directly from a source voltage or battery **302**, or may be fed from another power converter that can adapt the input voltage to the multiple-output converter (and hence the multiple voltages) over time, including, for example, a buck converter, boost converter, and other magnetics-based converters. In some embodiments, a voltage control unit can operate by switching among different discrete supply levels and providing this switched supply directly to the power amplifier, as illustrated in FIG. **4C**.

FIGS. **4D** and **4E** are schematic diagrams illustrating two switching network architectures **310**, **312** that may be used in power amplifier systems and RF transmitter systems in accordance with embodiments. These two architectures **310**, **312** are both particularly well suited for use in low-power systems implemented in CMOS, but may also be used in other systems. In some implementations, both a switched-capacitor (SC) circuit and a switching network **310**, **312** may be realized together on a single CMOS die. For higher-power systems, it may be advantageous to place a control circuit for switching an SC circuit and/or the switching network on an integrated circuit. It should be appreciated that the switching network architectures **310**, **312** of FIGS. **4D** and **4E** represent two example architectures that may be

17

used in some embodiments. Other switching network architectures may be used in other implementations.

As described above, in some embodiments, a supply voltage with switched discrete levels may be provided to a power amplifier through a transition shaping filter. The filter, which may be low-pass in nature, can limit the high frequency content of the PA supply voltage, provide shaping for the voltage transitions between levels, and provide damping for the transitions. This filter can also incorporate parasitic elements associated with the interconnect of the voltage control unit to the PA, and can be designed such that the frequency content of the supply voltage is matched to what may be adequately adjusted for in the PA drive waveform. FIGS. 4F-4I are schematics illustrating some level-transition filter architectures that may be used in various embodiments. Other filter architectures may alternatively be used.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary RF transmitter 100 in accordance with an embodiment. RF transmitter 100 is a specific implementation of RF transmitter 40 of FIG. 2. As illustrated, RF transmitter 100 includes: a controller 90; first and second digital to RF modulators 92, 94; first and second power amplifiers 96, 98; a voltage control unit 102; a power combiner 104; and an energy recovery module 120. In the illustrated implementation, voltage control unit 102 includes: a multi-level power converter 106; first and second switch units 108, 110; first and second supply selects 112, 114; and first and second transition shaping filters 116, 118. Multi-level power converter 106 is operative for generating a number of different voltage potentials (i.e.,  $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4$ ) on a plurality of corresponding voltage lines. Although illustrated with four different voltage levels, it should be appreciated that any number of different levels may be used in different embodiments. First and second switch units 108, 110 are each capable of controllably coupling one of the plurality of voltage lines at a time to a power supply input of a corresponding power amplifier 96, 98. First and second supply selects 112, 114 are operative for switching the first and second switch units 108, 110 between the different voltage lines in response to switch control signals  $S_1(t), S_2(t)$  received from controller 90. In at least one embodiment, multilevel power converter 106 may be capable of adapting the voltage values on the plurality of voltage lines slowly over time to, for example, accommodate slow variations in a desired average output power. First and second transition shaping filters 116, 118 are operative for shaping the transitions between voltage levels within each power amplifier supply voltage signal. In at least one implementation, one or both of the transition shaping filters 116, 118 may include a low pass filter. In some implementations, transition shaping filtration is not used.

As shown in FIG. 5, controller 90 may provide a time varying amplitude value and a time varying phase value to each of the digital to RF modulators 92, 94. That is, controller 90 may provide  $A_1(t), \theta_1(t)$  to first digital to RF modulator 92 and  $A_2(t), \theta_2(t)$  to second digital to RF modulator 94. As described previously, in some implementations, the amplitude and phase information may be specified using time varying I and Q data (or some other format), rather than amplitude and phase values.

FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary voltage control unit 122 in accordance with an embodiment. As illustrated, voltage control unit 122 may include: first and second multi-level power converters 123, 124; first and second switch units 126, 128; and first and second supply selects 130, 132. First multi-level power converter 123, first switch unit 126, and first supply select 130 may be used to

18

vary a supply voltage of a first power amplifier of an RF transmitter and second multi-level power converter 124, second switch unit 128, and second supply select 132 may be used to vary a supply voltage of a second power amplifier of the RF transmitter. First and second switch units 126, 128 and first and second supply selects 130, 132 may operate in substantially the same manner as the corresponding units described above.

As illustrated in FIG. 6, first multi-level power converter 123 may generate a first plurality of voltage levels (i.e.,  $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4$ ) on a first plurality of voltage lines 134 and second multi-level power converter 124 may generate a second plurality of voltage levels (i.e.,  $V_5, V_6, V_7, V_8$ ) on a second plurality of voltage lines 136. In some embodiments, the voltage levels on the first plurality of voltage lines 134 may be the same as the voltage levels on the second plurality of voltage lines 136. In other embodiments, they may be different. As described previously, in some embodiments, different power amplifier designs may be used for the multiple different power amplifiers within an RF transmitter. These different power amplifier designs may require different discrete voltage levels for optimal performance. In the embodiment depicted in FIG. 6, the same number of voltage levels are provided for the first and second power amplifier. In some other embodiments, different numbers of voltage levels may be used for different power amplifiers.

In some implementations, first and second multi-level power converters 123, 124 may each be capable of adapting corresponding output voltage levels over time. As described above, this may be performed to, for example, accommodate slow variations in a desired average output power level. In at least one implementation, first and second multi-level power converters 123, 124 may each include a control input 138, 140 to receive a control signal from a corresponding controller (not shown) indicating when such adaptation is to take place and/or values to use for the new voltage levels.

FIG. 7 is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary voltage control unit 160 in accordance with an embodiment. As illustrated, voltage control unit 160 may include: first, second, third, and fourth power supplies 162, 164, 168, 170; first and second switch units 170, 172; and first and second supply selects 174, 176. First, second, third, and fourth power supplies 162, 164, 168, 170 may each be operative for generating a unique supply potential on a corresponding output line. First and second switch units 170, 172 may each be capable of controllably coupling one of the supply potentials at a time to a power supply input of a corresponding power amplifier. First and second supply selects 174, 176 are operative for switching the first and second switch units 170, 172 between the different supply potentials in response to switch control signals  $S_1(t), S_2(t)$  received from a corresponding controller. In some implementations, first, second, third, and fourth power supplies 162, 164, 168, 170 may each adapt its output voltage value slowly over time to, for example, accommodate slow variations in desired average output power level. In at least one embodiment, first, second, third, and fourth power supplies 162, 164, 168, 170 each comprise a battery or are synthesized from a single source using a multi-output switched capacitor converter. Although illustrated with four power supplies 162, 164, 168, 170, it should be appreciated that any number of different power supplies may be used in a particular implementation.

FIGS. 8 and 9 are flow diagrams illustrating processes for operating RF transmitters in accordance with various embodiments.

The rectangular elements (typified by element 182 in FIG. 8) are herein denoted "processing blocks" and may represent

19

computer software instructions or groups of instructions. It should be noted that the flow diagrams of FIGS. 8 and 9 represent exemplary embodiments of designs described herein and variations in such diagrams, which generally follow the processes outlined, are considered to be within the scope of the concepts, systems, and techniques described and claimed herein.

Alternatively, the processing blocks may represent operations performed by functionally equivalent circuits, such as a digital signal processor circuit, an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field programmable gate array (FPGA), or conventional electrical or electronic systems or circuits. Some processing blocks may be manually performed, while other processing blocks may be performed by circuitry and/or one or more processors. The flow diagrams do not depict the syntax of any particular programming language. Rather, the flow diagrams illustrate the functional information one of ordinary skill in the art may require to fabricate circuits and/or to generate computer software or firmware to perform the corresponding processing. It should be noted that many routine program elements, such as initialization of loops and variables and the use of temporary variables, are not shown. It will be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that unless otherwise indicated herein, the particular sequences described are illustrative only and can be varied without departing from the spirit of the concepts described and/or claimed herein. Thus, unless otherwise stated, the processes described below are unordered meaning that, when possible, the sequences shown in FIGS. 8 and 9 can be performed in any convenient or desirable order.

Referring now to FIG. 8, a method 180 for operating an RF transmitter having at least two digital-to-RF modulators driving at least two power amplifiers will be described. First, transmit data may be obtained that is intended for transmission to one or more remote wireless entities (block 182). The transmit data may be used to provide input information for the at least two digital-to-RF modulators to control amplitudes and phases of output signals of the modulators (block 184). The input information generated for the at least two digital-to-RF modulators may be different for different modulators. The output signals of the at least two digital-to-RF modulators may then be delivered to corresponding inputs of the at least two power amplifiers of the RF transmitter (block 186).

The transmit data may also be used to select supply voltages for each of the at least two power amplifiers (block 188). The output signals of the at least two power amplifiers may be combined to generate a transmitter output signal for the RF transmitter (block 190). Any of the control techniques described herein may be used to generate the input information for the at least two digital-to-RF modulators and to select the supply voltages for the at least two power amplifiers. The transmitter output signal may be delivered to one or more antennas to be transmitted into a wireless channel (block 192). In at least one implementation, the input information generated for each digital-to-RF modulator may be representative of both an amplitude value and a phase value. The amplitude value and the phase value will typically vary with time (i.e., they can change from sample to sample). In at least one implementation, I and Q values may be provided for each of the at least two digital-to-RF modulators. In some other implementations, time varying amplitude and phase values may be provided. As described above, different input information may be generated for each of the at least two digital-to-RF modulators.

20

In at least one approach, the amplitude and phase values provided for the at least two digital-to-RF modulators and the supply voltages selected for the at least two power amplifiers may be selected in a manner that results in an accurate representation of the transmit data within the RF output signal of the RF transmitter. The amplitude values generated for the at least two digital-to-RF modulators may be selected to control or adjust an output power level of the RF transmitter in some embodiments. In one approach, the amplitude values may be selected to achieve a desired level of power backoff within the RF transmitter.

FIG. 9 is a flow diagram illustrating a method 200 for operating an RF transmitter having a single digital-to-RF modulator and a single power amplifier. Transmit data is first obtained that is intended for transmission to one or more remote wireless entities (block 202). The transmit data may be used to generate input information for the digital-to-RF modulator to control an amplitude and phase of an output signal of the modulator (block 204). The amplitude of the output signal of the modulator may be controlled in order to achieve a desired transmit power level for the RF transmitter. The output signal of the digital-to-RF modulator may be delivered to a corresponding input of the power amplifier of the RF transmitter (block 206).

The transmit data may also be used to select a supply voltage for the power amplifier (block 208). Any of the control techniques described herein may be used to generate the input information for the single digital-to-RF modulator and to select the supply voltage for the single power amplifier. The output signal of the power amplifier may be coupled to one or more antennas for transmission into a wireless channel (block 210). In at least one implementation, the input information delivered to the digital-to-RF modulator may be representative of both an amplitude value and a phase value. In at least one approach, the phase value generated for the digital-to-RF modulator and the supply voltage selected for the power amplifier may be selected in a manner that provides an accurate representation of the transmit data at an output of the RF transmitter. The amplitude value generated for the digital-to-RF modulator may be selected to control or adjust an output power level of the RF transmitter. In one approach, this power control capability may be used to achieve a desired level of power backoff for the RF transmitter.

FIG. 11 is a flow diagram illustrating a method 230 for selecting voltage levels for one or more power amplifiers of a power amplification system based on a window of samples in accordance with an embodiment. As described previously, FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating such a sample window 220. The method 230 may be used, for example, within the methods 180, 200 of FIGS. 8 and 9 (e.g., in block 188 and block 208, respectively). As shown in FIG. 11, it may first be determined whether  $N_w$  current and future samples can use a lower set of voltage levels than a previous sample and still meet output power requirements (block 232). If so (block 232-Y), then a new set of voltage levels may be selected that are as low as possible (or at least lower than the previous sample) to support all  $N_w$  current and future samples (block 234). If the  $N_w$  samples cannot use a lower set of voltage levels than the previous sample, it may next be determined whether the set of voltage levels used for the previous sample is sufficient to support the current sample (block 236). If not (block 236-N), then a new set of voltage levels may be selected that are as low as possible to support the current sample (block 238). If the set of voltage levels used for the previous sample is sufficient to support the current sample (block 236-Y), then the same level selec-

tion may be used for the current sample that was used for the previous sample. This process may be repeated for each new sample.

In the description above, various concepts, circuits, and techniques are discussed in the context of RF transmitters that are operative for transmitting signals via a wireless medium. It should be appreciated that these concepts, circuits, and techniques also have application in other contexts. For example, in some implementations, features described herein may be implemented within transmitters or drivers for use in wireline communications. In some other implementations, features described herein may be implemented within other types of systems that require highly efficient and highly linear power amplification for data carrying signals. In other implementations, features described herein may be applied to systems that require linear power amplification for audio applications, for ultrasonic applications, and in RF power amplification for heating, industrial processing, imaging, and RF power delivery.

Having described exemplary embodiments of the invention, it will now become apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that other embodiments incorporating their concepts may also be used. The embodiments contained herein should not be limited to disclosed embodiments but rather should be limited only by the spirit and scope of the appended claims. All publications and references cited herein are expressly incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

What is claimed is:

1. A radio frequency (RF) power amplifier system, comprising:

an RF amplifier;

a digital-to-RF modulator to generate an RF input signal for the RF amplifier based on at least one modulator control signal;

a voltage control unit configured to provide a variable supply voltage to the RF amplifier in response to at least one voltage control signal, the variable supply voltage being selected from a plurality of discrete voltage levels;

a controller to provide the at least one modulator control signal to the digital-to-RF modulator and the at least one voltage control signal to the voltage control unit based, at least in part, on data to be amplified by the RF amplifier; and

a transition shaping filter coupled between the RF amplifier and the voltage control unit, said transition shaping filter to filter a variable supply voltage to be applied to the RF amplifier so as to provide shaping and/or bandwidth limitation of the voltage transitions between discrete voltage levels,

wherein the transition shaping filter is configured to reduce frequency content at an output of the RF amplifier by shaping the voltage transitions between discrete voltage levels of the variable supply voltage provided to the RF amplifier.

2. The system of claim 1 wherein the transition shaping filter has a low-pass filter response characteristic.

3. The system of claim 1 wherein the transition shaping filter has a filter response characteristic selected to limit high frequency content of a variable supply voltage provided to the RF amplifier.

4. The system of claim 1 wherein the transition shaping filter has a filter response characteristic selected to: (a) limit high frequency content; (b) provide shaping for the voltage transitions between levels; and (c) provide damping for the transitions of a variable supply voltage provided to be provided to the RF amplifier.

5. The system of claim 1 wherein the transition shaping filter comprises one or more passive components.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the frequency content is resultant from transitioning the variable supply voltage among the discrete voltages by the voltage control unit.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the transition shaping filter is configured to filter frequency content that cannot be compensated by a drive waveform of the RF amplifier.

8. The system of claim 7, wherein the transition shaping filter is configured to shape frequency content of the variable supply voltage by passing frequency content that can be compensated by the drive waveform of the RF amplifier.

9. The system of claim 1, wherein the system is implemented in an integrated circuit.

10. The system of claim 1, wherein the system is employed in a wireless communications device, the wireless communications device comprising one of: a mobile telecommunications device, a wireless router, a wireless access point, a telecommunications infrastructure device and a computing device.

11. In an apparatus comprising a non-transitory computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon that, when executed by a computer, perform a method for operating an RF transmitter having a digital-to-radio frequency (RF) modulator driving a power amplifier, the method comprising:

obtaining transmit data to be transmitted from the RF transmitter;

providing input information for the digital-to-RF modulator based, at least in part, on the transmit data, the input information to control an amplitude and a phase of an RF output signal of the digital-to-RF modulator;

selecting a supply voltage for the power amplifier based, at least in part, on the transmit data, the supply voltage being selected from a plurality of discrete voltage levels, wherein selecting a supply voltage includes making a decision about supply voltage level for the RF power amplifier based, at least in part, on a window of data samples representing data to be transmitted by the RF transmitter; and

filtering the variable supply voltage to be applied to the RF amplifier with a transition shaping filter so as to provide shaping and/or bandwidth limitation of the voltage transitions between discrete voltage levels, wherein the filtering comprises reducing, by the transition shaping filter, frequency content at an output of the RF amplifier by shaping the voltage transitions between discrete voltage levels of the variable supply voltage provided to the RF amplifier.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the transition shaping filter has a low-pass filter response characteristic.

13. The method of claim 11, further comprising:

selecting a filter response characteristic of the transition shaping filter to limit high frequency content of a variable supply voltage provided to the RF amplifier.

14. The method of claim 11, further comprising:

selecting a filter response characteristic of the transition shaping filter to: (a) limit high frequency content; (b) provide shaping for the voltage transitions between levels; and (c) provide damping for the transitions of a variable supply voltage provided to be provided to the RF amplifier.

15. The method of claim 11, wherein the transition shaping filter comprises one or more passive components.

16. The method of claim 11, wherein the frequency content is resultant from transitioning the variable supply voltage among the discrete voltages by the voltage control unit.

17. The method of claim 11, further comprising: 5  
shaping, by the transition shaping filter, frequency content of the variable supply voltage by filtering frequency content that cannot be compensated by a drive waveform of the RF amplifier.

18. The method of claim 17, further comprising: 10  
shaping, by the transition shaping filter, frequency content of the variable supply voltage by passing frequency content that can be compensated by the drive waveform of the RF amplifier.

\* \* \* \* \*

15